



Routledge Advances in Internationalizing Media Studies

DIGITAL PLATFORMS AND THE GLOBAL SOUTH

**RECONFIGURING POWER RELATIONS IN THE
CULTURAL INDUSTRIES**

Edited by
Philippe Bouquillion, Christine Ithurbide
and Tristan Mattelart



Digital Platforms and the Global South

This book addresses the issues raised by digital platforms in the Global South, with an emphasis on the cultural stakes involved.

It brings together an interdisciplinary team of researchers – including political economists, socio-economists, geographers, media sociologists or anthropologists – who each explore these issues through an insightful case study at a local, national, regional or international scale. While studying the strategies of some of the main US-based Big Tech platforms or video streaming platforms towards the Global South, the chapters also consider the often-neglected active role local or regional actors play in the expansion of those Western digital players, and highlight the existence of a constellation of local or regional platforms that have emerged in Africa, Asia, Latin America or the Middle East. In addition to analysing the complex relationships of competition, collaboration or dependence between these diverse actors, this volume examines the ways in which the rise of these digital platforms has generated new forms of cultural entrepreneurship and participated in the reconfiguring of the conditions in which cultural contents are produced and circulated in the Global South.

This volume will appeal to readers interested in the transnationalisation of cultural industries or in the social, political, economic, cultural and geopolitical dimensions of digital transformations and will be an important resource for students, teachers and researchers in media, communication, cultural studies, international relations and area studies programmes.

Philippe Bouquillion is Professor of Communication at the University Sorbonne Paris Nord and Director of the LabsIC and of the Laboratory of Excellence ‘Cultural Industries and Artistic Creation’ (LabEx ICCA).

Christine Ithurbide is a Research Fellow at the French National Centre for Scientific Research (CNRS) with UMR 5319 Passages.

Tristan Mattelart is Professor at the French Institute of the Press, Paris-Panthéon-Assas University, and Researcher at the Centre for Interdisciplinary Analysis and Research of the Media (CARISM).

Routledge Advances in Internationalizing Media Studies
Edited by Daya Thussu, Hong Kong Baptist University

- 24 **The International Photojournalism Industry**
Cultural Production and the Making and Selling of News Pictures
Jonathan Ilan
- 25 **Internet Memes and Society**
Social, Cultural, and Political Contexts
Anastasia Bertazzoli
- 26 **Comparing Post-Socialist Media Systems**
The Case of Southeast Europe
Zrinjka Peruško, Dina Vozab and Antonija Čuvalo
- 27 **The News Media in Puerto Rico**
Journalism in Colonial Settings and in Times of Crises
Federico Subervi-Vélez, Sandra Rodríguez Cotto and Jairo Lugo-Ocando
- 28 **Seeking Truth in International TV News**
China, CGTN and the BBC
Vivien Marsh
- 29 **Making Policy for the New Information Economy**
Comparing China and India
Krishna Jayakar and Chun Liu
- 30 **Miscommunicating the COVID-19 Pandemic**
An Asian Perspective
Ran Wei
- 31 **Digital Platforms and the Global South**
Reconfiguring Power Relations in the Cultural Industries
Edited by Philippe Bouquillion, Christine Ithurbide and Tristan Mattelart

Digital Platforms and the Global South

Reconfiguring Power Relations in the
Cultural Industries

Edited by
Philippe Bouquillion, Christine Ithurbide
and Tristan Mattelart

First published 2024
by Routledge
4 Park Square, Milton Park, Abingdon, Oxon OX14 4RN

and by Routledge
605 Third Avenue, New York, NY 10158

Routledge is an imprint of the Taylor & Francis Group, an informa business

© 2024 selection and editorial matter, Philippe Bouquillion, Christine Ithurbide and Tristan Mattelart; individual chapters, the contributors

The right of Philippe Bouquillion, Christine Ithurbide and Tristan Mattelart to be identified as the authors of the editorial material, and of the authors for their individual chapters, has been asserted in accordance with sections 77 and 78 of the Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988.

All rights reserved. No part of this book may be reprinted or reproduced or utilised in any form or by any electronic, mechanical, or other means, now known or hereafter invented, including photocopying and recording, or in any information storage or retrieval system, without permission in writing from the publishers.

Trademark notice: Product or corporate names may be trademarks or registered trademarks, and are used only for identification and explanation without intent to infringe.

British Library Cataloguing-in-Publication Data

A catalogue record for this book is available from the British Library

Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data

Names: Bouquillion, Philippe, editor. | Ithurbide, Christine, editor. | Mattelart, Tristan, editor.

Title: Digital platforms and the global south : reconfiguring power relations in the cultural industries / edited by Philippe Bouquillion, Christine Ithurbide, Tristan Mattelart.

Description: London ; New York : Routledge, 2024. |

Series: Routledge advances in internationalizing media studies |

Includes bibliographical references and index.

Identifiers: LCCN 2023034063 (print) | LCCN 2023034064 (ebook) |

ISBN 9781032489919 (hardback) | ISBN 9781032489933 (paperback) |

ISBN 9781003391746 (ebook)

Subjects: LCSH: Digital media—Social aspects—Developing countries. | Digital media—Developing countries. | Cultural industries—Developing countries.

Classification: LCC HM851 .D54375 2024 (print) | LCC HM851 (ebook) | DDC 303.48/33—dc23/eng/20230907

LC record available at <https://lccn.loc.gov/2023034063>

LC ebook record available at <https://lccn.loc.gov/2023034064>

ISBN: 978-1-032-48991-9 (hbk)

ISBN: 978-1-032-48993-3 (pbk)

ISBN: 978-1-003-39174-6 (ebk)

DOI: 10.4324/9781003391746

Typeset in Sabon
by codeMantra

Contents

<i>List of figure</i>	<i>vii</i>
<i>List of tables</i>	<i>ix</i>
<i>List of contributors</i>	<i>xi</i>
<i>Acknowledgements</i>	<i>xv</i>
Introduction	1
PHILIPPE BOUQUILLION, CHRISTINE ITHURBIDE AND TRISTAN MATTELART	
1 US Digital Platforms in the Global South: A Critical Review of an Emerging Research Field	18
TRISTAN MATTELART	
2 The Big Five in India, Powerful Players?	37
PHILIPPE BOUQUILLION	
3 Platformisation of Matchmaking: Reconfiguring Social Economies in India	56
VIBODH PARTHASARATHI AND SIMRAN AGARWAL	
4 Cultural Crowdfunding Platforms in Latin America: From ‘Creative’ Discourse to Entrepreneurial Strategies	74
ALIX BÉNISTANT AND JEREMY VACHET	
5 Global Platforms Weaving into the Craft Industry: Lessons from Localisation Strategies in India	91
CHRISTINE ITHURBIDE	
6 From Weixin to WeChat: Analysing the Expansion Strategies of a Chinese Social Media Platform	109
YUWEN ZHANG	

7	Netflix's First Decade of Presence in Latin America	128
	LUIS A. ALBORNOZ AND FERNANDO KRAKOWIAK	
8	Cultural Policies for the SVoD Market in Brazil: From an Attempt at Regulation to Total Deregulation	147
	LEONARDO DE MARCHI AND ANA ATEM DIAMANTE	
9	Streaming the Middle East: From Legacy Media to Digital Platforms	165
	JOE F. KHALIL AND MOHAMED ZAYANI	
10	Hidden in Plain Sight: YouTube and Nollywood's Reinvention of Minor Transnational Practices	182
	ALESSANDRO JEDLOWSKI	
11	What Mobile Telephony Is <i>Doing</i> to West African Music. The ZikMali Project: A New Model of Music Distribution?	199
	EMMANUELLE OLIVIER	
12	Chilean Fashion Influencers as Digital Labour: Unpacking the 'Media Kit' as a Market Device	215
	ARTURO ARRIAGADA	
	<i>Index</i>	233

Figure

3.1 Leading platforms by type in India, 2022

58



Taylor & Francis

Taylor & Francis Group

<http://taylorandfrancis.com>

Tables

2.1	The number of users in India of social networks offered by the Big Five in comparison with other countries	45
3.1	Access and cross-platform features on popular dating platforms in India	69
6.1	Tencent income statement in million Yuan (RMB), 4th Quarter 2022	115



Taylor & Francis

Taylor & Francis Group

<http://taylorandfrancis.com>

Contributors

Simran Agarwal is a doctoral researcher at the Laboratory of Excellence ‘Cultural Industries and Artistic Creation’ (LabEx ICCA) affiliated with University Sorbonne Paris Nord, France. She has previously worked in the areas of media governance, political communication, platformisation of culture and free speech issues in India. Her current research looks closely at the various forms, mechanisms and impacts of state and platform governance on the digital news landscape in India.

Luis A. Albornoz is a Professor of Communication at the University Carlos III of Madrid (UC3M), Spain, Director of the Audiovisual Diversity research group and member of the University Institute of Spanish Cinema (IUCE-UC3M). His lines of research focus on the functioning of cultural industries, covering culture and communication policies and the issue of diversity in the audiovisual industry. He is currently co-directing research on the market for video-on-demand services and on the competitiveness of the European film industry at the international level.

Arturo Arriagada is an Associate Professor of Communications at Universidad Adolfo Ibáñez (Chile). His particular areas of interest include workers’ experiences in the platform economy, influencers and the creator economy, algorithms and quantification. He is also Associate Researcher in the Millennium Center on the Evolution of Work (MNEW), a multidisciplinary project on studying the future of work funded by Chile’s National Agency for Research and Development (ANID NCS2021-033).

Alix Bénistant is an Associate Professor of Communication at the University Sorbonne Paris Nord (France). After working on the transnationalisation of the music industry inspired by the political economy of communication, he is now focusing on digital cultural platforms and their internationalisation, as well as on the role of algorithms in the processes of ‘discoverability’ on these platforms.

Philippe Bouquillion is a Professor of Communication at the University Sorbonne Paris Nord, France. He is Director of the LabSIC and of the Laboratory of Excellence ‘Cultural Industries and Artistic Creation’ (LabEx ICCA). Inspired in the political economy of communication, his work

focuses on cultural and creative industries. His most recent research deals with audiovisual digital platforms in Europe and India.

Leonardo De Marchi is a Professor at the School of Communication at the Federal University of Rio de Janeiro (UFRJ), Brazil, and Researcher at the Post-Graduation Program in Communication and Culture at the same university. His research focuses on the culture industries, platformisation of culture production, cultural policies and fintech 3.0.

Ana Atem Diamante is an undergraduate in the Law School at the Catholic Pontifical University of Rio de Janeiro, Brazil (PUC-Rio).

Christine Ithurbide is a Research fellow at the CNRS with UMR 5319 Passages, France. Her research focuses on the socio-economic transformation of cultural industries in India and more recently on the deployment of digital platforms in music, audiovisual and craft. She is principal investigator of the International Research Network SOUTH-STREAM exploring cultural platforms made in Africa, Asia and Latin America.

Alessandro Jedlowski is an Associate Professor in African Studies at the Bordeaux Institute of Political Studies (Sciences Po Bordeaux), in France. His work focuses particularly on African screen media industries (in Nigeria, Ethiopia and Côte d'Ivoire) and on networks of cultural circulations that take place across regions of the 'Global South'.

Joe F. Khalil is an Associate Professor of Global Media in Residence at Northwestern University in Qatar. With a focus on the Middle East, his research and teaching explore changes and continuities in media, incorporating an interdisciplinary and transnational approach. Khalil's work delves into media industries, production studies, social movements and digital cultures, emphasising both mainstream and alternative media.

Fernando Krakowiak is Doctor in Social Sciences from the University of Buenos Aires. He works as a Professor in the subjects 'Communication Policies and Planning' at the Faculty of Social Sciences of the University of Buenos Aires and 'Economics of the Entertainment Industry' at the Argentine University of Enterprise (UADE). His lines of research are communication policies, political economy of the media and audiovisual digitisation processes.

Tristan Mattelart is a Professor at the French Institute of the Press, Paris-Panthéon-Assas University, and Researcher at the Centre for Interdisciplinary Analysis and Research of the Media (CARISM), France. After working extensively on the processes of media transnationalisation, he now studies the ways in which global digital platforms reconfigure the transnational circulation of news and cultural content.

Emmanuelle Olivier is an Ethnomusicologist, Research Fellow at the French National Centre for Scientific Research (CNRS), Georg Simmel Research

Centre, and Lecturer at the École des Hautes Études en Sciences Sociales (EHESS), Paris. Her work in Western Africa focuses on the creative process of popular music production, questioning how the digital revolution impacts practices, professions and mediations. She is currently directing an international partnership funded by the National Research Agency on *Digital Cultures in Western Africa*.

Vibodh Parthasarathi maintains a multidisciplinary interest in media policy, digital transitions and policy literacy. Associate Professor at Jamia Millia Islamia, New Delhi, he has been a visiting scholar at the University of Queensland, KU Leuven, University of Helsinki and IIT Bombay. His recent edited works include *Platform Capitalism in India* (2020) and *Pedagogy in Practice* (2022).

Jeremy Vachet is Associate Professor of Media and Communication at Audencia Business School in Nantes, France. His work focuses on political economy of communication and working conditions in the cultural and creative industries. His most recent research looked at working lives in the music and audiovisual industries in Europe and in the United States.

Mohamed Zayani is an award-winning author and Professor of critical theory at the Georgetown University School of Foreign Service in Qatar. His works include *The Digital Double Bind* (with Joe F. Khalil, 2023), *Digital Middle East* (2018) and *Networked Publics and Digital Contention* (2015).

Yuwen Zhang is a Researcher at the Interdisciplinary Research Group on Information and Communication Processes (GRIPIC) of Sorbonne University, France. Her research interests focus on media transformation, the *QR code-isation* phenomenon, Chinese social media and the internet economy. Her most recent research concerns the evolution of the QR code during the Covid-19 period.



Taylor & Francis

Taylor & Francis Group

<http://taylorandfrancis.com>

Acknowledgements

The editors of this book would like to thank their institutions for their support: the Laboratory of Excellence ‘Cultural Industries and Artistic Creation’ (LabEx ICCA), the UMR 5319 Passages, the French National Centre for Scientific Research (CNRS) and the Centre for Interdisciplinary Analysis and Research of the Media (CARISM) of the Paris-Panthéon-Assas University. They are also very grateful to the authors of this volume for their valuable contributions and their cooperation throughout this project. Similarly, the editors express their gratitude to the series editor, Daya K. Thussu, and the editors at Routledge/Taylor & Francis Books. Finally, they would like to extend special thanks to Barney Griffiths for his valuable proofreading as well as Maya Judd for her translation.



Taylor & Francis

Taylor & Francis Group

<http://taylorandfrancis.com>

Introduction

*Philippe Bouquillion, Christine Ithurbide
and Tristan Mattelart*

Introduction

There is a paradox inherent in the emerging research field of digital platforms. Most major global digital platforms have more users in the Global South than in the Global North. There are, for example, more YouTube users in India than in the United States. The Facebook audience in Brazil alone is bigger than the combined audience of its three main European markets (Statista, 2023). Yet, the issues raised by digital platforms are usually studied from the perspective of North American or West European experiences, and much less frequently from the perspectives of the countries comprising the Global South. In order to address this gap, we decided to compile this book with the aim of examining the increasing role played by digital platforms in the latter countries, with an emphasis on the cultural issues involved.

In embarking on this project, we were in some respect trying to answer the call, made by David B. Nieborg and Thomas Poell (2018), on the need, when studying how platforms are key players that contribute to the ‘reorganisation of cultural production and circulation’, to benefit from a ‘wider geographical lens’ by ‘including non-Western’ realities in the analysis (p. 4289). However, de-Westernizing research on digital platforms is not an easy task. Indeed, understanding how these platforms participate in the reconfiguring of cultural production and circulation in the Global South requires circumventing the traps of what Anita Say Chan (2013) has characterised as ‘digital universalism’. In other words, it is necessary to avoid considering digital platforms as ‘models’ devised in ‘technological centres’ that would ‘simply come to be adapted and copied’ in the Global South (p. x). On the contrary, in order to understand the role of these platforms, the specific historical, but also ‘sociopolitical, cultural, and economic contexts’ of the countries in which they operate must be taken into account (Milan & Treré, 2019, p. 325). That is why, while adopting the notion of the Global South, we must be careful not to erase the greatly contrasting realities it encompasses. If we choose to use it, it is because this notion remains a relevant and fruitful category for analysing long-term inequalities and power asymmetries on a global scale, provided that those operating at regional or local levels are also taken into account.

Another pitfall that the study of cultural issues raised by digital platforms in the Global South needs to avoid relates to the very notion of a platform. Admittedly, very precise definitions of this notion have been given. According to researchers in management studies (Cusumano & Gawer, 2002), the central characteristic of platforms is to organise direct interactions between users who can be both suppliers and consumers of goods and services, including cultural content. The emphasis is then placed on the interplay of externalities: the more users there are, the stronger the synergies between users. These externalities are then considered to form a particular market made up of as many ‘sides’ as there are users. Only multi-sided platforms are in this context considered platforms (Hagiu, 2007). From this perspective, YouTube or Facebook are platforms but not Netflix.

As for platform studies, the emphasis is on the ‘programmability’ of platforms, i.e. their capacity to host applications managed by external actors who thus benefit from access to the platform’s data and functionalities onto which they can graft their offerings. This dual movement towards the ‘inside’ of the platform (incorporation of external applications) and towards the ‘outside’ (through the association with external services from which the platform collects data) defines platformisation (Nieborg & Helmond, 2018; Plantin et al., 2018). Here, the emphasis is on the socio-technical functioning of platforms, which allows them to be programmed. In this approach, as in that put forward by management studies, only ‘contributing’ platforms are considered to be platforms.

In this volume, we do not adopt a restrictive approach to the notion of platform. Indeed, it is clear that the players themselves, as well as the political and regulatory authorities, have a broader approach. They consider non-contributory digital services, such as Video on Demand (VoD) or subscription music streaming platforms, which are at the heart of the reconfiguring of cultural industries, to be platforms. That is why, in this book, a diversity of digital services will be considered platforms when they aggregate, order and editorialise a set of offers of goods and services produced or not by the platform operators, and when consumers can choose between the goods and services provided (as opposed to broadcast media offers, for example) or when the platform operator organises the recommendation of goods and services offered to consumers in a supposedly personalised manner.

Likewise, our approach will differ from works on ‘platformisation’, in that we will not start from a pre-established ‘analytical model’ (Nieborg & Poell, 2018, p. 4276) regarding what platforms are or what constitutes platformisation, but, rather, pay attention to the variety of issues raised by platforms depending on the diversity of the local, regional or global platforms involved, and on the specific features inherent to the territories in which they operate. Within this framework, this book includes a variety of detailed studies on the differentiated strategies deployed by specific platforms in order to enter the markets of the Global South. In addition, while emphasising the global

scope of the operations run by the main US digital platforms, this volume also considers the often-neglected active role local or regional actors play in the expansion of those US digital players and describes a variety of local or regional platforms that have emerged in Africa, Asia, Latin America or the Middle East. How do global platforms negotiate with local authorities? How do they work with local telecommunications companies or with the local information technology (IT) and cultural industries? How do they compete or collaborate with other local firms? How do both global and local platforms deal with constraints linked to the informal dimensions prevailing in the cultural economy of many countries in the Global South?

By shifting the focus of the analysis of global digital platforms from their inner specificities to that of the particularities of the local circumstances in which they operate in the Global South, their deployment appears less as a rupture, as it is often portrayed in the literature, than as a particular step in a long historical continuum, as the chapters of this volume illustrate. As such, this book in many ways reconnects the contemporary discussions on the investments of transnational digital platforms in the Global South to the continuum of the heated debates on the internationalisation of Western media and cultural industries in the countries of the South, with all the political, geopolitical, cultural, economic and social issues that these have raised (Thussu, 2018).

The transnationalisation of digital platforms in these countries, as the following chapters make clear, needs in this way to be viewed as being in a continuity of the successive waves of liberalisation that have affected the cultural and creative industries of the Global South. The massive presence of these platforms in these countries – affecting not only their cultural or creative sectors as these are just two of the many economic sectors and social fields that these digital platforms are investing in – has to be seen more particularly as being inscribed in the continuity of the discourse on the ‘creative’ industries and economy. The latter was indeed presented in the 2000s, notably by UNESCO and UNCTAD, as being key factors favouring the economic, social and cultural development of the countries of the South (Cunningham, 2009). This discourse has in this respect legitimised important developments, including the liberalisation of trade and services – and the subsequent opening of the borders of these countries – as well as the liberalisation of foreign direct investments, or the injunctions for these countries to comply with the rules of intellectual property rights. All these elements have greatly facilitated the current implementation of transnational digital platforms in the South, these being now presented, in turn, as constituting key factors of growth for the Global South.

In trying to discern the issues raised by this massive presence of digital platforms in Asia, Latin America, Africa or the Middle East in some of their complexity, we brought together an interdisciplinary team of researchers – including political economists, socio-economists, geographers, media sociologists or

anthropologists – who each explore these issues through an insightful case study at a different scale – local, national, regional or international. Based on their expertise on the countries and sectors concerned, this volume aims not only to better understand how digital platforms are embedded in global or local power relations but also to better grasp how they participate in a restructuring of the conditions in which cultural contents are produced and circulated in the Global South. Here are some of the major issues and trends that have been identified by the various authors of this edited collection.

Questioning the Power of Global Digital Platforms

The perspective adopted in this book is a critical one, as the emphasis we have placed above on inequalities and power asymmetries illustrates. Our approach is inspired in this respect by existing research that adopts a macro-level perspective to investigate the ways in which global digital platforms constitute hegemonic agents – whether they are seen as vectors of ‘imperialism’ (Jin, 2015) or of ‘colonialism’ (Couldry & Mejias, 2019; Kwet, 2019) – within the context of the transnational capitalist system. While the contributions of this research are highlighted by Tristan Mattelart in his theoretical chapter for this book, our perspective nonetheless differs.

As Philippe Bouquillion states in his chapter, this research considering the role of these platforms through the lenses of their ‘imperialist’ or ‘colonialist’ endeavours tends to reinforce ‘the common idea of their overpowering nature’. This research is not alone in doing so. As Thomas Poell, David B. Nieborg and Brooks Erin Duffy (2022) wrote in reference to these platforms: ‘Their power is staggering’ (p. 179). However, according to Philippe Bouquillion, while the power of these global digital platforms is, in these works, underlined, the ‘modalities and scope of this domination are only partially documented’, especially when considering the activities they undertake in the Global South. Understanding the modalities of this domination in fact requires taking into account not only the global structures of domination in which these platforms operate, as Dal Yong Jin (2015), Nick Couldry and Ulises A. Mejias (2019) have done, or the power logics linked to their socio-technical (programmability) or economic (externalities) characteristics, as Thomas Poell, David B. Nieborg and Brooks Erin Duffy (2022) have done, but also taking into consideration the overall political, economic, social and cultural context favouring or constraining, at a local or national level, their investments in the countries of the South.

Indeed, as Philippe Bouquillion shows in his chapter devoted to the investments of the Big Five (Alphabet, Amazon, Apple, Meta and Microsoft) in India, these have not established themselves ‘like steamrollers’. They have had to develop localisation strategies in order to adapt to the realities of the target country and they have had, more particularly, ‘to form close partnerships with Indian players’. They did it with the Indian central government

which, in the context of the 2015 Digital India plan, has attracted the Big Five in order to fulfil its own ‘industrial policy objectives’. They also have had to partner with Indian industrial players, especially the main Indian telecommunication operators, without which they would not have had access to the national market. Despite their financial and infrastructural power, these global digital platforms have then had to cope with these domestic players’ own priorities, including in the political field. Far from the platform euphoria prevalent in the early 2010s, which celebrated these companies for being promoters of democracy, they have thus had to deal with India’s ‘government attempts to control the political public space’.

Beyond the Indian case, most of the contributions gathered in this book show how platform operators have had to deal with local players or local subsidiaries of foreign players and particularly with mobile telecommunications operators in order to invest in the countries of the Global South. Indeed, access to connection networks, to reception equipment and payment solutions – especially through mobile phones – is still an important issue, albeit to different extents in the different countries under consideration, and depends to a large extent on these telecommunications operators. The latter are also key business partners because the potential users of the platforms’ services are already their subscribers.

This is not to relativize the power of the global digital platforms, but to try to understand better how they negotiate it and, ultimately, how they exercise it. In her chapter in which she studies the investments of e-commerce platforms such as Amazon and Walmart-owned Flipkart in the craft sector of two Indian states, Assam and Tamil Nadu, Christine Ithurbide also highlights the key role played by domestic players in these processes. She shows more specifically that regional state structures have been ‘nodal organisations in the expansion of [these] e-commerce platforms’ by making it possible for the latter to access the rural craft communities in the two states under consideration. These state regional structures have been all the more inclined to introduce these US e-commerce platforms into this craft sector since, as Christine Ithurbide explains, they have been ‘seduced’ by the discourse that these US companies hold, replete with promises of modernisation. There is, however, a huge gap between these promises and reality, given the limits of online connectivity and of digital literacy, to name but a few obstacles with which these platforms are confronted on the ground.

The investments of these global companies have nonetheless had a tangible result, as Christine Ithurbide notes. Under the impetus of these public-private partnerships, ‘tasks that were once performed’ by public entities, ‘from training to building marketplace’, have tended to be ‘delegate[d] to private players’. By emphasising this, Christine Ithurbide brings to the fore one of the main features characterising these platforms’ operations: their ability to extend the borders of the market by including, within its sphere, activities that used to be largely excluded from it.

(Re)structuring Social and Market Spheres

This argument is also made by Vibodh Parthasarathi and Simran Agarwal in their chapter. As they explain, the ‘platform phenomenon’ has to be seen not as a ‘rupture’ as it is often presented, but as an ‘evolutionary process’ that ‘reformulate[s] and institutionalise[s] novel forms of market systems’. It does so by taking over activities that were previously the responsibility of public authorities, as in the example of the craft sector in India already mentioned. It also does so through the ‘capture’, undertaken by these companies, of the Global South’s ‘informal and social economies’.

In this respect, Tristan Mattelart mentions in his contribution the efforts made by the main US global platforms to exploit the important resources of this informal economy to their advantage. However, US companies are far from being the only ones seeking to take advantage of these resources. When considering the role digital platforms play in the Global South, it is indeed important to avoid focusing exclusively on US platforms and necessary to take into account the ones that emerge locally or regionally, ‘sometimes in the cracks between the platform superpowers and sometimes before they arrive’ (Steinberg & Li, 2017, p. 175). These local or regional platforms are not less involved in the expansion of the market sphere in the Global South and no less eager to appropriate the potential of the informal economy.

The chapter that Alix Bénistant and Jeremy Vachet devote to cultural crowdfunding platforms in Latin America illustrates very well how, with the creation of both local and transnational platforms, various initiatives have been taken, by both public and private institutions, to include activities that used to be largely excluded from market logics (or, more precisely, sometimes from formal market logics) within the latter’s realm. They indeed show how the discourse of global institutions on crowdfunding, which describes these platforms as being key instruments for development, has been ‘indigenised at the national level’ in Latin America, and how it has shaped public policies. Crowdfunding platforms are, in this perspective, viewed as a means of mobilising the untapped resources of the informal economy prevalent in Latin America and, more specifically, as an instrument able to stimulate small and medium-sized enterprises by offering them access to formal alternative funding channels able to overcome their exclusion from the traditional banking system. The cultural sector is, within this framework, identified as being particularly strategic and considered, in line with the global creative economy discourse, a key ‘inclusive tool for development’.

Through their analysis of the public policies implemented in Latin America in this field, both at a regional or national level, Alix Bénistant and Jeremy Vachet demonstrate however that, by promoting these cultural crowdfunding platforms, domestic public authorities actually seek to reduce the share of public funding devoted to culture by fostering the development of the ‘private sector – small entrepreneurs – in order to stimulate local [cultural]

production'. Interestingly, their chapter, like Christine Ithurbide's, highlights the significant gap existing between the promises in the discourse on digital platforms (here, cultural crowdfunding platforms) and reality. As a matter of fact, despite the celebratory discourse on cultural crowdfunding, the latter's 'business model seems unsustainable', note Alix Bénistant and Jeremy Vachet.

Another illustration of the ways in which both the US and local platforms play a key role in capturing the informal and social economies in the Global South is provided by the chapter written by Vibodh Parthasarathi and Simran Agarwal. Studying Indian brokerage platforms such as Shaadi.com and Bharat Matrimony, or dating platforms such as the US-based Tinder or the Indian Truly Madly, they show that these have not simply added another intermediary in the field of matchmaking in India, in addition to those already existing offline or online. Beyond, these platforms have appropriated 'the variedly informal practices of matchmaking to make them more legible to users and garner rents for proprietors'. By doing this, these platforms have integrated these informal practices within a broader matchmaking market of which they are a central node. A market that is all the more extensive as it includes within its realm the Indian diaspora.

This logic is also at work in China. In her contribution, Yuwen Zhang indeed shows how Weixin, owned by the tech giant Tencent, has succeeded in becoming a central support for various socialisation practices in the country and, in doing so, has succeeded in integrating these into the Chinese market sphere. 'As it expanded, [Weixin] has transformed and accumulated new functions, to the point that it seems today to be almost indispensable to Chinese society'. Being at the heart of Chinese 'social interactions', this application, with its 'scenarios' strategy, in effect encourages its users to make use of the device in as many social configurations as possible to meet the various needs of everyday life. 'Today, a Weixin user can chat with his friends, publish content, make online purchases, buy from any store in China, play video games, or even perform administrative tasks with the prefecture. When they move around, thanks to Weixin, Chinese people only need a cell phone', Yuwen Zhang explains.

Interestingly, the embedding of Weixin in Chinese socio-cultural realities nonetheless hinders its development abroad insofar as the move from Weixin to WeChat, its international arm, has hardly changed the device. WeChat expansion in India has, for example, not taken into account the fact that Indian users have not been accustomed to chatting only after sending and accepting friend requests, or more technical issues in relation to smartphone versions not being able to support WeChat's services or default compression of images to save traffic costs. As such, the Indian WeChat appears more like a product built entirely for Chinese users but with a changed language version. Thus, while the users of the main global US social media platforms are from a wide variety of countries and regions around the world, with the exception of a few countries, WeChat's international users are mainly Chinese people overseas.

US SVoD Platforms in the Global South, Between Localisation Strategies and Liberalisation Policies

As explained above, despite the fact that Subscription Video on Demand (SVoD) streaming services are sometimes considered not to be platforms (Poell et al., 2022, p. 6), we choose to include these within the scope of this book, with several chapters dealing more specifically with Netflix's investments in Latin America and the Middle East or, somewhat more indirectly, in Nigeria. With the arrival of major US SVoD players in these countries, questions that echo older debates have resurfaced: Are US platforms easily acquiring a dominant position in the countries where they enter? What is the impact of their arrival on local industries and, more specifically, what are 'the outcomes of the conflict between new corporate actors and older industry stakeholders for the future of the industry?' as Alessandro Jedlowski asks in the case of Nigeria.

A first observation is that Netflix is leading the local markets under consideration, although not systematically. In Latin America, as Luis A. Albornoz and Fernando Krakowiak explain, Netflix has been able to position itself as a leading streaming service in several key countries, including Argentina, Mexico, Colombia, Chile and Peru. However, in the case of Brazil, it is the local operator GloboPlay that dominates the market with almost twice as many subscribers as its US counterpart. Netflix also leads in terms of subscribers in the Middle East against its main regional rivals, the Dubai-based Shahid and the Abu Dhabi-based StarzPlay, as shown by Joe F. Khalil and Mohamed Zayani. It must be noted, nevertheless, that in other key markets in the Global South, such as India, Netflix is far from leading the market, confronted as it is with tough competition from both the other American players, Amazon Prime Video, Disney+ Hotstar, and from established local platforms, JioCinema and Voot (Bouquillion & Ithurbide, 2022).

The study of the transnationalisation of US-based SVoD platforms, and more specifically of Netflix, is interesting in that it shows how, in order to invest in markets in the Global South, the company has needed to implement localisation strategies, as the other types of platforms have done, implying adjustments both in its content and partnerships. As Luis A. Albornoz and Fernando Krakowiak show in their chapter where they review Netflix's first decade of investments in Latin America, in order to expand its presence on the subcontinent, not only has the company had to partner with telecommunications companies (with the Argentinian Telecentro or with Telefónica, the Spanish company present in several Latin American countries), but also to shift its activity from merely content distribution to the production of local programmes, at least in some of the major markets in the region. Similarly, Joe F. Khalil and Mohamed Zayani, who study in their chapter some of the major streaming platforms operating in the Middle East, analyse how, in a market where 'Hollywood movies and series continue to appeal to local

audiences' but where there is an 'even greater demand for original productions in Arabic', Netflix has had to invest in the production of local content.

Interestingly, both chapters use relatively similar terms to describe the ways in which, through this production of local programmes, Netflix also targets a transnational audience living well beyond the regions under consideration. In Latin America, this company strives to achieve a 'cultural mix nourished by features of local identities along with certain global themes to facilitate its internationalisation'. In the Middle East, the streamer tries 'to create successful programming that resonates with global audiences while remaining true to the local culture'.

However, this strategy of localisation seems to have encountered more obstacles in the Middle East than in Latin America. Indeed, as it started its investment in 'original' content 'with little concerns for the region's production structure's cultural, political and economic complexities', some Netflix locally-produced content, considered to contravene 'Islamic values', has attracted significant criticism, forcing the company to make public amends. Not to mention the problems the streamer also had in the Middle East with the presence, in its catalogue, of programmes with political content considered to be sensitive by the governments in the region.

Contributing, at least in some countries of the regions under consideration, to the production of local content, Netflix has been able, as Luis A. Albornoz and Fernando Krakowiak note, 'to defend itself against accusations of being part of a new imperialist crusade'. Does this mean that Netflix should be uncritically considered a benefactor for the local film and TV industries in the countries of the Global South where it has deployed its policy of original productions? That would be forgetting that the relationship Netflix establishes with local producers, as Luis A. Albornoz and Fernando Krakowiak underline, 'is a markedly asymmetrical one'. As a matter of fact, the US company retains control over the international distribution of these locally-produced programmes, but also over the ownership rights and the non-negligible ancillary rights to these programmes. Moreover, through these local investments, Netflix exports a very specific way of conceiving programmes, crafted in California, which, once imported, contributes to the implementation of new modes of organising the production of content (Bouquillion & Ithurbide, 2021).

While the acquisition of films' or series' rights and investments in local production are non-negligible aspects, they also raise fears and come with certain imbalances and limits. In his chapter devoted to Nollywood, Alessandro Jedlowski reminds us that Netflix's 'intentions for investing in African cinema [...] are seen as dubious and its economic power as excessive' in Nigeria. There are too, significant gaps in the number of originals produced between one country and another. For instance, in 2022, Netflix produced 70 'originals' in India, less than 50 in Brazil and in Nigeria, to no originals in Sri Lanka or Mauritius. Budgets also vary widely: the amount of money used

to produce a season of eight episodes of a series in India would make a single episode in the US (Ithurbide, 2022), a situation that underlines persistent geographical inequalities.

Beyond that, what needs to be taken into consideration is the way in which the entry of Netflix in the Global South has resulted in an increased liberalisation of the audiovisual markets of these countries. Luis A. Albornoz and Fernando Krakowiak emphasise in this respect the efforts undertaken by Netflix in Latin America at a regional level, through its lobbying, to influence regulators in a way that is favourable to its interests, by calling for a strengthening of the ‘market dynamics’, at the expense of interventionist public policies.

Brazil is a good example of a market that, after the arrival of Netflix, has been largely deregulated. Leonardo De Marchi and Ana Atem Diamante explain in their chapter how, under the centre-left coalition led by Dilma Rousseff, the Superior Council of Cinema, responsible for formulating a policy in the audiovisual sector, and the National Film Agency tried, in the mid-2010s, to regulate the activities of VoD platforms, attempting, *inter alia*, to impose quotas of Brazilian titles on their catalogues and to require investments in the production of domestic works. They show how, with the impeachment of Dilma Rousseff and the subsequent election of Jair Bolsonaro, this attempt at regulation has failed, resulting, to the contrary, in ‘a scenario of complete deregulation’ of the VoD sector.

This failure is all the more damaging since the rise of SVoD subscriptions in Brazil has been accompanied by the decline of those for pay television. Now, this pay television market had been regulated, with mechanisms forcing pay television operators to distribute Brazilian programmes, including from independent producers, through quotas. With the SVoD market being free of such rules, these regulations organising the pay television market – which had ‘resulted in the development of many small and mid-sized independent producers across the country’ – are increasingly being questioned by pay television operators, especially as they face declining subscriber numbers, making the situation of these independent producers more and more precarious.

Local Streaming Platforms, Between Collaboration and Dependence

Beyond US streaming services, what clearly emerges in this book is a constellation of other players that provides a much more complex and nuanced picture than the one of a US steamroller. Every country presents a more or less important diversity of ‘local’ or ‘indigenous’ platforms which pre-existed to or have emerged in parallel with foreign ones. These local platforms have been launched by two different types of players: the ones coming from the legacy cultural and creative industries (such as film companies, pay television or music labels) and the others whose main activity is related to information and communication technologies (such as telecommunications operators or

digital service companies) or finance (banks), and who aim to diversify their activities into cultural content for different strategic reasons. The size or the financial and technological capacities of these local players vary greatly, not only amongst them but also in comparison with US corporations who have some of the world's highest market capitalisations.

The two local or regional music streaming platforms that are more specifically studied here, Anghami and ZikMali, are indicative of the great diversity of situations existing in this field. Beyond their many differences, both strive to cater to the specific needs of their audience. As Joe F. Khalil and Mohamed Zayani demonstrate in their chapter, if Anghami, based in both Beirut and Abu Dhabi, has been able to compete with Paris-based Deezer or Stockholm-based Spotify, it is certainly thanks to 'its deep familiarity with the region'. They show indeed how this streamer has succeeded in establishing itself in a 'piracy prone regio[n]', thanks to its catalogue – enriched by a licencing deal with Rotana, the Middle East's biggest music producer – but also by establishing relationships with more than 30 mobile operators – mobiles being a major means for accessing music in this market – or by adopting a "freemium" pricing model' ensuring the growth of its user base, or by promoting a policy of producing local artists and, finally, by creating a 'user interface tailored to the local audience'.

The Malian streaming music platform, ZikMali, whose development Emmanuelle Olivier chronicles, has a far more artisanal nature. She highlights the lofty ambitions of its creator, Mohamed Diarra, who wants to struggle against the 'marginalisation of Africa[n]' music on international streaming platforms and to enable Malian musicians to have an additional income 'thanks to dividends from the consumption of their recorded music' on his subscription-based national streaming platform. Emmanuelle Olivier details the many obstacles Mohamed Diarra has faced in implementing his project: the difficulties he has encountered in collecting the older recordings of the artists with whom ZikMali has contracts, or, even worse, the difficulties he has met in convincing Malian consumers – who, in another piracy prone market, are not used to paying for listening to recorded music – to subscribe to his streaming service or to download songs. Without also forgetting of course the inherent limitations related to the poor online connectivity or digital literacy in Mali, to name but a few obstacles. As a result, ZikMali's achievements are mitigated, the number of subscriptions remaining low and being 'primarily limited to Malians living abroad'.

Interestingly, while tracing the development of ZikMali, Emmanuelle Olivier also deciphers some of the mechanisms through which mobile telecommunication operators exert an increasing power over the music sector in Africa. These operators have indeed, since the early 2000s, invested in the music business on the continent, integrating this with the other so-called value-added services they offer on mobiles, which generate 'vitally important' revenues (Eisenberg, 2012, p. 1). Elsewhere, Emmanuelle Olivier has more

particularly analysed how Orange, the French telecommunications company, has invested, through a series of deals with local actors, in the development of music content in Mali in order to ‘augment the sale of its phone bundles’ and to develop m-commerce – i.e. the lucrative mobile commerce – for its own benefit (Olivier, 2017, p. 192).

Within this framework, her chapter helps to understand the extent to which these companies are, in Africa, and more specifically in Mali, not just mobile telecommunication operators, but in the process of ‘becoming cultural operators’. As a matter of fact, in the musical field, they are, as she explains – in line with an argument we have already made above – trying to reform ‘the largely informal *modus operandi*’ within which the Malian music market has functioned until now, transforming it into a formal market, organised largely by their platforms and increasingly monetised on these.

Emmanuelle Olivier shows more specifically that the project of ZikMali could not have been born without the support of Orange: the former has indeed depended to a considerable extent on the latter to come into being. Not only did the mobile operator give ZikMali the means to access its mobile phone payment service – a key element in a ‘country as poorly banked as Mali’ – but it has also helped Mohamed Diarra in designing his project by opening the doors of its own start-up incubator and support centre to him, where he has been able to refine his strategy.

While in Mali Orange has played an instrumental role in making the ZikMali project possible, in Nigeria, YouTube has in many respects facilitated the emergence of various generations of streaming services devoted to Nollywood movies, as Alessandro Jedlowski shows in his chapter. He explains first how two Nigerian UK-based entrepreneurs, Jason Njoku and Bastian Gotter – after having purchased the streaming rights for films at a low cost – created in 2011 the YouTube channel ‘Nollywood Love’, which evolved into the establishment of one of the most popular streaming platforms in Nigeria, iROKO.tv. The success of the latter has pushed international corporations, such as the satellite television companies South African Multichoice, the French Canal Plus, the Chinese StarTimes, as well as the streaming services Netflix or Amazon Prime, into the local Nigerian market, which resulted in the transformation of Nollywood’s industry. The arrival of these international corporations has indeed marginalised the ‘marketers’ who had occupied a central role in the early Nollywood economy, with its largely informal features, to the benefit of a ‘new generation of producers and distributors’, more likely to adhere to the requirements of these international corporations that target more profitable Nigerian audiences, such as the urban elites or diaspora, who have the means to pay for a subscription to a pay television or streaming service. However, as Alessandro Jedlowski shows, YouTube, the same platform that indirectly contributed to the marginalisation of these marketers, has also, thanks to its infrastructure, given them the means to create channels – some even dedicated to local languages (such as Yoruba Plus or Igbo Eze) – which cater to the particular needs of their more popular

local audience and which are, thanks to their ad-based model, more accessible. As argued by one of Alessandro Jedlowski's informants, who has been producing and selling movies since 2003, and shifted to online business with a YouTube Channel, 'Global Update Movie Nollywood', with this platform he can operate 'without the interference of the Nigerian government or the big international corporations!' ... but with the help of one of the biggest corporations in the world.

New Forms of Cultural Entrepreneurship

While not without limitations – in Mali, YouTube channels cannot be monetised – global digital platforms have undeniably brought about a new space for cultural production in the countries of the South. In Nigeria, YouTube, for example, has not only helped some of the marketers who had played a key role in the emergence of Nollywood to continue in their business, it has also favoured, as Alessandro Jedlowski explains, 'the emergence of new talents', providing to 'young, upcoming filmmakers' in the early stage of their career the means to produce and circulate their short films, thereby helping them in circumventing 'the monopolisation of the existing distribution networks in few international corporate hands'. Moreover, this company has given them a venue for engaging with 'more politically-sensitive topics, which are largely absent from mainstream Nollywood releases'.

However, digital platforms cannot be viewed merely as facilitating cultural production. Beyond that, the different chapters comprising this book show the extent to which contributory platforms need to be considered, in many respects, 'cultural operators', to use Emmanuelle Olivier's expression, which play a central role in various stages of the production of creative contents. These platforms are indeed far from being neutral intermediaries making the production of culture easier. On the contrary, they promote, on a global scale, as Netflix does through its local investments in production, very specific conceptions of what cultural production should be. In his literature review chapter, Tristan Mattelart shows, for example, how US-based social media platforms have to be seen not only as facilitating the production of content, by the infrastructures of creation they offer to their users, but also as organising this production, given the specific model of cultural production, driven by the metrics, they spread in the Global South as in the Global North.

In this respect, the chapters in this book outline some of the contours of this specific model of cultural creation that is promoted by these digital platforms and not only the global ones. Studying the investments of Amazon and Flipkart in the craft sector in India, Christine Ithurbide demonstrates that these platforms bolster, for the artisans, 'a shift toward self-entrepreneurship', resulting in the necessity for them to perform 'an increasing number of non-cultural tasks', such as 'client relation management, digital marketing', in order to be competitive according to the platform logic, with the risk of ending up in 'self-exploitation'.

Revealingly, the Latin American cultural crowdfunding platforms studied by Alix Bénistant and Jeremy Vachet, while not having a sustainable business model, have nonetheless constituted, for those who have worked for them, interesting places for acquiring an ‘entrepreneurial skill’ or an ability ‘to adapt to the market’.

The chapter that perhaps best captures the contours of the model of creation that is promoted by the global digital platforms is the one Arturo Arriagada devotes to the ‘media kits’ used as self-promotion tools by Chilean influencers in the field of fashion. He explains quite clearly how essential it is for these content creators to get good metrics. These are key indicators of their ‘status’ and of their ‘level of authority and expertise’. In order to maximise these metrics, these creators have to constantly move across different platforms and need to constantly adapt their productions to these platforms’ ‘algorithms and [...] technical features’.

In addition to the description of this highly commercialised model of content creation, the chapter by Arturo Arriagada is interesting in that it shows how global social media platforms such as Instagram, YouTube or TikTok give these influencers a means to become, on their own small or large scale, non-negligible local relays contributing to the circulation of commodity flows within the transnational capitalist system. These influencers play, as a matter of fact, a key mediating role between, on the one hand, global brands such as Nike, H&M, Lancôme, Swarovski, Zara, amongst others, and, on the other, Chilean consumers. These local influencers are in a better position than traditional advertisers, as one of his informants says, to ‘communicate [those transnational] brands naturally... organically’ to their local audience.

Conclusion

While putting together the chapters for this book, we have tried to follow the advice, given by Marc Steinberg, not to reduce the geography of digital platforms to a ‘bipolar world’ – with ‘the US (often called “Western”)', on one side, the Chinese platforms, on the other – and to pay more attention to the ‘local or regional challenges to the US companies’ (Steinberg, 2020, p. 2). Once finished, this volume gives an idea of the diversity of situations in which these local or regional platforms operate, according to local characteristics. There is no doubt that this diversity would have been even greater if the situations of smaller Southern countries in terms of population or socio-economic weight had been addressed more. Indeed, most of the countries taken as case studies in this volume are rather large and powerful (for instance, China, India, Nigeria, Brazil or Mexico). This comes as an incentive to explore further the constellation of local or regional digital platforms that have flourished in these smaller countries, but also to investigate more broadly the ways in which the major Chinese, Indian, South African or Brazilian platforms are expanding in other Global South regions.

The study of WeChat included in this collection reveals the variety of trajectories that exist in this latter domain. As Yuwen Zhang shows, there is a huge contrast between WeChat's central importance in its Chinese domestic market and the limited results of its internationalisation. As such, WeChat's trajectory is very different from TikTok's, a company that, through its global expansion, has become an 'emergent power in the US-dominated global platform ecosystem' (Jia & Liang, 2021, p. 273). In any case, there is no question that the strategies deployed by Chinese digital industries – including platforms, infrastructures and equipment – in the Global South need to be further researched.

Besides considering not only the global but also the regional or local digital platforms, the chapters of this book have all studied how these have had to adapt to the political, social, economic or cultural specificities of the countries of the Global South under consideration. Some aspects, such as the issue of linguistic fragmentation and regional variations, mentioned by Joe F. Khalil and Mohamed Zayani when analysing streaming services in Arabic-speaking countries, would, however, demand to be further monitored. This linguistic diversity in most of the countries or regions of the Global South is indeed a key element to take into account when examining the deployment of these platforms, especially those offering cultural content or products.

In this volume, we have also tried to reintroduce, within the analysis of global digital platforms, the local players without which these platforms cannot invest in the markets of the Global South. In addition to emphasising the relationships established between these global platforms and a plurality of local firms (or local subsidiaries of transnational firms) or other social and cultural local actors, the chapters of this book all underline in their own way the key role that public policies play in easing or, conversely, making the investments of these global platforms more difficult in these national markets, revealing a wide range of situations. In some of the countries under consideration public initiatives have been put in place to, in certain cases, protect domestic cultural industries from competition from global platforms, and, in others, to fight these in order to better exert governmental control over the online public sphere. In contrast, in other countries, the investments of these global platforms are facilitated, provided that they serve the interests of the host state. Elsewhere, with the development of digital platforms, policies have been implemented to reduce public subsidies to culture and to transfer responsibilities that were previously carried out by public actors to these private actors. This variety of policies also merits further investigations.

The same complex picture emerges from the chapters studying the diverse individual actors working for or with these digital platforms – whether artists, artisans, crowdfunding workers, influencers or entrepreneurs. Far from the enthusiastic promises of facilitated cultural creation that accompanied the rise of these platforms, these chapters study the actual working conditions that have been implemented by these companies, revealing tensions

between, on the one hand, the way in which these platforms have allowed the development of talents and have given them access to complementary sources of income, and, on the other, the strong rationalisation of practices and the ‘commodification of inter-individual relations’ that are at work, as highlighted by Alix Bénistant and Jeremy Vachet. In any event, these chapters all underline how the relationship with digital platforms is for these diverse kinds of digital cultural workers fraught with ‘nuances and contradictions’, to borrow terms from Alessandro Jedlowski, who sees in these contradictions an ‘invitation to [...] dig deeper into the realities that the introduction of platforms is contributing to create’.

References

- Bouquillion, P., & Ithurbide, C. (2021). La globalisation culturelle et les nouveaux enjeux d’hégémonie à l’heure des plateformes. *Réseaux*, 2, 71–98.
- Bouquillion, P., & Ithurbide, C. (2022). Audio-visual industry and digital platforms in India: A contribution from political economy of communication. *Global Media and Communication*, 18(3), 345–364.
- Chan, A.S. (2013). *Networking peripheries: Technological futures and the myth of digital universalism*. MIT Press.
- Couldry, N., & Mejjas, U.A. (2019). *The costs of connection: How data is colonizing human life and appropriating it for capitalism*. Stanford University Press.
- Cunningham, S. (2009). Trojan horse or Rorschach blot? Creative industries discourse around the world. *International Journal of Cultural Policy*, 15(4), 375–386.
- Cusumano, M., & Gawer A. (2002). *Platform leadership*. Harvard Business School Press.
- Eisenberg, A.J. (2012). M-Commerce and the (re)making of the music industry in Kenya: Preliminary notes and findings. Paper presented at the annual meetings of the Association for Social Anthropology, New Delhi, 5 April.
- Hagiu, A. (2007). Merchant or two-sided platform. *Review of Network Economics*, 2(6), 115–133.
- Ithurbide, C. (2022). Déploiement de Netflix en Inde: Localisation d’une plateforme transnationale et reconfigurations locales. *Annales de Géographie*, 743, 23–43.
- Jia, L., & Liang, F. (2021). The globalization of TikTok: Strategies, governance and geopolitics. *Journal of Digital Media and Policy*, 12(2), 273–292.
- Jin, D.Y. (2015). *Digital platforms, imperialism and political culture*. Routledge.
- Kwet, M. (2019). Digital colonialism: US Empire and the new imperialism in the Global South. *Race & Class*, 60(4), 3–26.
- Milan, S., & Tréré, E. (2019). Big data from the South(s): Beyond data universalism. *Television and New Media*, 20(4), 319–335.
- Nieborg, D.B., & Helmond, A. (2018). The political economy of Facebook’s platformization in the mobile ecosystem: Facebook messenger as a platform instance. *Media, Culture & Society*, 41(2), 196–218.
- Nieborg, D.B., & Poell, T. (2018). The platformization of cultural production: Theorizing the contingent cultural commodity. *New Media and Society*, 20(11), 4275–4292.
- Olivier, E. (2017). Les opérateurs téléphoniques comme nouveaux opérateurs culturels politiques de la musique au Mali. *Politiques de Communication*, 1, 179–208.

- Plantin, J.-C., Lagoze, C., Edwards, P.N., & Sandvig, C. (2018). Infrastructure studies meet platform studies in the age of Google and Facebook. *New Media & Society*, 20(1), 293–310.
- Poell, T., Nieborg, D.B., & Duffy, B.E. (2022). *Platforms and cultural production*. Polity.
- Statista. (2023). *Leading countries based on Facebook audience size as of January 2023*. <https://www.statista.com/statistics/268136/top-15-countries-based-on-number-of-facebook-users/>
- Steinberg, M., & Li, J. (2017). Introduction: Regional platforms. *Asiascape: Digital Asia*, 4(3), 173–183.
- Steinberg, M. (2020). LINE as super app: Platformization in East Asia. *Social Media + Society*, 6(2), 1–10.
- Thussu, D.K. (2018). A new global communication order for a multipolar world. *Communication Research and Practice*, 4(1), 5–66.

Introduction

- Bouquillion, P. , & Ithurbide, C. (2021). La globalisation culturelle et les nouveaux enjeux d'hégémonie à l'heure des plateformes. *Réseaux*, 2, 71–98.
- Bouquillion, P. , & Ithurbide, C. (2022). Audio-visual industry and digital platforms in India: A contribution from political economy of communication. *Global Media and Communication*, 18(3), 345–364.
- Chan, A.S. (2013). *Networking peripheries: Technological futures and the myth of digital universalism*. MIT Press.
- Couldry, N. , & Mejias, U.A. (2019). *The costs of connection: How data is colonizing human life and appropriating it for capitalism*. Stanford University Press.
- Cunningham, S. (2009). Trojan horse or Rorschach blot? Creative industries discourse around the world. *International Journal of Cultural Policy*, 15(4), 375–386.
- Cusumano, M. , & Gawer A. (2002). *Platform leadership*. Harvard Business School Press.
- Eisenberg, A.J. (2012). M-Commerce and the (re)making of the music industry in Kenya: Preliminary notes and findings. Paper presented at the annual meetings of the Association for Social Anthropology, New Delhi, 5 April.
- Hagi, A. (2007). Merchant or two-sided platform. *Review of Network Economics*, 2(6), 115–133.
- Ithurbide, C. (2022). Déploiement de Netflix en Inde: Localisation d'une plateforme transnationale et reconfigurations locales. *Annales de Géographie*, 743, 23–43.
- Jia, L. , & Liang, F. (2021). The globalization of TikTok: Strategies, governance and geopolitics. *Journal of Digital Media and Policy*, 12(2), 273–292.
- Jin, D.Y. (2015). *Digital platforms, imperialism and political culture*. Routledge.
- Kwet, M. (2019). Digital colonialism: US Empire and the new imperialism in the Global South. *Race & Class*, 60(4), 3–26.
- Milan, S. , & Tréré, E. (2019). Big data from the South(s): Beyond data universalism. *Television and New Media*, 20(4), 319–335.
- Nieborg, D.B. , & Helmond, A. (2018). The political economy of Facebook's platformization in the mobile ecosystem: Facebook messenger as a platform instance. *Media, Culture & Society*, 41(2), 196–218.
- Nieborg, D.B. , & Poell, T. (2018). The platformization of cultural production: Theorizing the contingent cultural commodity. *New Media and Society*, 20(11), 4275–4292.
- Olivier, E. (2017). Les opérateurs téléphoniques comme nouveaux opérateurs culturels politiques de la musique au Mali. *Politiques de Communication*, 1, 179–208.
- Plantin, J.-C. , Lagoze, C. , Edwards, P.N. , & Sandvig, C. (2018). Infrastructure studies meet platform studies in the age of Google and Facebook. *New Media & Society*, 20(1), 293–310.
- Poell, T. , Nieborg, D.B. , & Duffy, B.E. (2022). *Platforms and cultural production*. Polity.
- Statista . (2023). Leading countries based on Facebook audience size as of January 2023. <https://www.statista.com/statistics/268136/top-15-countries-based-on-number-of-facebook-users/>
- Steinberg, M. , & Li, J. (2017). Introduction: Regional platforms. *Asiascape: Digital Asia*, 4(3), 173–183.
- Steinberg, M. (2020). LINE as super app: Platformization in East Asia. *Social Media + Society*, 6(2), 1–10.
- Thussu, D.K. (2018). A new global communication order for a multipolar world. *Communication Research and Practice*, 4(1), 5–66.

US Digital Platforms in the Global South

- Abidin, C. (2014). #In\$tagLam: Instagram as a repository of taste, a brimming marketplace, a war of eyeballs. In M. Berry & M. Schleser (Eds.), *Mobile media making in an age of smartphones* (pp. 119–128). Palgrave Macmillan.
- Arora, P. (2019). *The next billion users: Digital life beyond the West*. Harvard University Press.
- Arriagada, A. , & Ibáñez, F. (2020). 'You need at least one picture daily, if not, you're dead': Content creators and platform evolution in the social media ecology. *Social Media + Society*,

6(3), 1–12.

- Athique, A. , & Parthasarathi, V. (2020). Platform economy and platformization. In A. Athique & V. Parthasarathi (Eds.), *Platform capitalism in India* (pp. 1–19). Palgrave Macmillan.
- Ballatore, A. , Graham, M. , & Sen, S. (2017). Digital hegemonies: The localness of search engine results. *Annals of the American Association of Geographers*, 107(5), 1194–1215.
- Bouquillion, P. (2022). Les stratégies de transnationalisation des GAFAM en Inde: La nécessité des ancrages territoriaux. *Annuaire Français de Relations Internationales 2022* (pp. 761–774). Éditions Panthéon-Assas.
- Bouquillion, P. , & Ithurbide, C. (2022). Audio-visual industry and digital platforms in India: A contribution from political economy of communication. *Global Media and Communication*, 18(3), 345–364.
- Caribou Digital . (2016). *Winners & losers in the global app economy*. Caribou Digital Publishing.
- Caribou Digital . (2017). *Paying attention to the poor: Digital advertising in emerging markets*. Caribou Digital Publishing.
- Couldry, N. , & Mejias, U.A. (2019). *The costs of connection: How data is colonizing human life and appropriating it for capitalism*. Stanford University Press.
- Cunningham, S. , & Craig, D. (2019). *Social media entertainment. The new intersection of Hollywood and Silicon Valley*. New York University Press.
- Diamond, L. (2010). Liberation technology. *Journal of Democracy*, 21(3), 69–83.
- Donner, J. (2015). *After access: Inclusion, development, and a more mobile Internet*. The MIT Press.
- El Marzouki , M. (2021). Creativity and dissent in Arab creator culture. In S. Cunningham & D. Craig (Eds.), *Creator culture: An introduction to global social media entertainment* (pp. 189–208). New York University Press.
- Global Voices . (2017). *Free Basics in real life*. Global Voices.
- Howard, P.N. , & Hussain, M.M. (2011). The upheavals in Egypt and Tunisia: The role of digital media. *Journal of Democracy*, 22(3), 35–48.
- Ithurbide, C. , & Khetrimayum, M. (2022). Digital platforms and craft workers in the time of Covid. *South Asia Multidisciplinary Academic Journal*, 29, 1–19.
- Ithurbide, C. , & Rivron, V. (2018). Industries culturelles et plateformes numériques dans les Suds: Des reconfigurations sociales et spatiales en question. *Les Cahiers d'Outre-Mer*, 277, 5–36.
- Jia, L. , & Winseck, D. (2018). The political economy of Chinese Internet companies: Financialization, concentration, and capitalization. *The International Communication Gazette*, 80(1), 30–59.
- Jin, D.Y. (2013). The construction of platform imperialism in the globalization era. *Triple C. Communication, Capitalism and Critique*, 11(1), 145–172.
- Jin, D.Y. (2015). *Digital platforms, imperialism and political culture*. Routledge.
- Jin, D.Y. (2017a). Rise of platform imperialism in the networked Korean society: A critical analysis of the corporate sphere. *Digital Asia*, 4(3), 209–232.
- Jin, D.Y. (2017b). Digital platform as a double-edged sword: How to interpret cultural flows in the platform era. *International Journal of Communication*, 11, 3880–3898.
- Kumar, N. (2014). *Facebook for self-empowerment? A study of Facebook adoption in urban India*. *New Media & Society*, 16(7), 1122–1137.
- Kumar, S. (2016). YouTube nation: Precarity and agency in India's online video scene. *International Journal of Communication*, 13, 5608–5625.
- Kumar, S. , Mohan, S. , & Punathambekar, A. (2021). Beyond the nation: Cultural regions in South Asia's online video communities. In S. Cunningham & D. Craig (Eds.), *Creator culture: An introduction to global social media entertainment* (pp. 170–188). New York University Press.
- Kwet, M. (2019). *Digital colonialism: South Africa's education transformation in the shadow of Silicon Valley*. Doctoral Dissertation. Rhodes University.
- MacBride, S. (1980). *Many voices, one world*. Report by the International Commission for the study of communications problems. UNESCO.
- Mattelart, A. , & Schmucler, H. (1983). *L'ordinateur et le tiers-monde*. François Maspero.
- Mattelart, T. (2021). L'élaboration par YouTube d'un modèle mondial de production de vidéos. *Questions de Communication*, 40, 119–140.

- Milan, S. (2021). Why digital culture needs a new MacBride commission. *Media Development*, 2(67), 11–14.
- Miller, D. (2011). *Tales from Facebook*. Polity.
- Mirrlees, T. (2020). Not (yet) the 'Chinese century'. The endurance of the US Empire and its cultural industries. In O. Boyd-Barrett & T. Mirrlees (Eds.), *Media imperialism. Continuity and change* (pp. 305–319). Rowman & Littlefield.
- Nemer, D. (2016). Online favela: The use of social media by the marginalized in Brazil. *Information Technology for Development*, 22(3), 364–379.
- Nothias, T. (2020). Access granted: Facebook's Free Basics in Africa. *Media, Culture & Society*, 42(3), 329–348.
- Oyedemi, T.D. (2019). Global digital capitalism: Mark Zuckerberg in Lagos and the political economy of Facebook in Africa. *International Journal of Communication*, 13, 2045–2061.
- Oyedemi, T.D. (2021). Digital coloniality and 'next billion users': The political economy of Google Station in Nigeria. *Information, Communication & Society*, 24(3), 329–343.
- Poell, T. , Nieborg, D.B. , & Duffy, B.E. (2022). *Platforms and cultural production*. Polity.
- Pool, I. de S. (1983). *Technologies of freedom*. Harvard University Press.
- Prasad, R. (2018). Ascendant India, digital India: How net neutrality advocates defeated Facebook's Free Basics. *Media, Culture & Society*, 40(3), 415–431.
- Rangaswamy, N. , & Arora, P. (2016). The mobile internet in the wild and every day: Digital leisure in the slums of urban India. *International Journal of Cultural Studies*, 19(6), 611–626.
- Ricaurte, P. (2019). Data epistemologies, coloniality of power, and resistance. *Television & New Media*, 20(4), 350–365.
- Schiller, H.I. (1976). *Communication and cultural domination*. International Arts and Sciences Press.
- Shtern, J. , Hill, S. , & Chan, D. (2019). Social media influence: Performative authenticity and the relational work of audience commodification in the Philippines. *International Journal of Communication*, 13, 1939–1958.
- Steinberg, M. (2017). Converging contents and platforms. Niconico video and Japan's media mix ecology. In J. Neves & B. Sarkar (Eds.), *Asian video cultures. In the penumbra of the global* (pp. 91–113). Duke University Press.
- The World Bank Group . (2012). *ICT for greater development impact: World Bank group strategy for information and communication technology: 2012–2015*. The World Bank Group.
- Tiwary, I. (2020). Amazon prime video: A platform ecosphere. In A. Athique & V. Parthasarathi (Eds.), *Platform capitalism in India* (pp. 87–106). Palgrave Macmillan.
- Uimonen, P. (2013). Visual identity in Facebook. *Visual Studies*, 28(2), 122–135.
- United Nations Conference on Trade and Development . (2019). *Digital economy report: Value creation and capture: Implications for developing countries*. UNCTAD.
- Van Dijck, J. (2020). Guarding public values in a connective world. Challenges for Europe. In O. Boyd-Barrett & T. Mirrlees (Eds.), *Media imperialism. Continuity and change* (pp. 175–185). Rowman & Littlefield.
- Wyche, S.P. , & Baumer, E.P. (2017). Imagined Facebook: An exploratory study of non-users' perceptions of social media in rural Zambia. *New Media & Society*, 19(7), 1092–1108.
- Wyche, S.P. , Forte, A. , & Schoenebeck, S.Y. (2013a). Hustling online: Understanding consolidated Facebook use in an informal settlement in Nairobi. In *Proceedings of the SIGCHI 2013 Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems*, 2823–2832.
- Wyche, S.P. , Schoenebeck, S.Y. , & Forte, A. (2013b). 'Facebook is a luxury': An exploratory study of social media use in rural Kenya. In *Proceedings of the 2013 Conference on Computer Supported Cooperative Work*, 33–44.

The Big Five in India, Powerful Players?

Abrar, P. (2022). Profits elude Amazon India despite \$6.5 billion investment. *Business Standard*. September 1. https://www.business-standard.com/article/companies/profits-elude-amazon-india-despite-6-5-billion-investment-bernstein-122090101261_1.html

Airtel . (2021). Amazon launches its worldwide first mobile-only video plan in India. <https://www.airtel.in/press-release/01-2021/amazon-launches-its-worldwide-first-mobile-only-video-plan-in-india-prime-video-mobile-edition>

Athique, A. , & Parthasarathi, V. (2020). Platform capitalism in India. Global Transformations in Media and Communication Research-A Palgrave and IAMCR Series.

Baruah, A. (2022). India is a key growth market for Google Cloud. Mint. February 21. <https://www.livemint.com/technology/tech-news/india-is-a-key-growth-market-for-google-cloud-bikram-singh-bedi-11645466115411.html>

Basuroy, T. (2022). Share of Google in the mobile search engine market across India from April 2019 to November 2022. Statista. December 7. <https://www.statista.com/statistics/938846/india-google-share-in-mobile-search-market/>

Benkler, Y. (2006). The wealth of networks: How social production transforms markets and freedom. Yale University Press.

Bouquillion, P. , & Ithurbide, C. (2022). Audiovisual industry and digital platforms in India: A contribution from political economy of communication. Global Media Communication, 0(0), 345–364. <https://doi.org/10.1177/17427665221125548>

Couldry, N. , & Mejias, U. (2018). Data colonialism: Rethinking big data's relation to the contemporary subject. Television & New Media, 20(4), 336–349.

Cusumano, M. , & Gawer, A. (2002). Platform leadership. Harvard Business School Press.

EY & FICCI . (2022). Tuning into consumer. March. https://assets.ey.com/content/dam/ey-sites/ey-com/en_in/topics/media-and-entertainment/2022/ey-ficci-m-and-e-report-tuning-into-consumer_v3.pdf

Ezrachi, A. , & Stucke, M.E. (2016). Virtual competition. Journal of European Competition Law & Practice, 7(9), 585–586.

Farooqui, J. (2022). OTT platforms in India spent Rs 3700 crore on original content in 2021. E4m, March 30. <https://www.exchange4media.com/digital-news/ott-platforms-in-india-spent-rs-3700-crore-on-original-content-in-2021-119384.html>

Global data . (2022). <https://www.globaldata.com/store/report/india-ict-market-analysis/>

Hagiu, A. (2007). Merchant or two-sided platform. Review of Network Economics, 2(6), 115–133.

Kapoor, E. (2022). Amazon is struggling in India unlike Reliance, Meesho says wealth management firm Bernstein. Business Insider India. September 5. <https://www.businessinsider.in/business/ecommerce/news/amazon-is-struggling-in-india-unlike-reliance-meesho-says-wealth-management-firm-bernstein/articleshow/93950044.cms>

Kemp, S. (2022). Digital 2022: July global statshot. <https://datareportal.com/reports/digital-2022-july-global-statshot>

Kwet, M. (2019). Digital colonialism: US Empire and the new imperialism in the Global South. Race & Class, 60(4), 3–26.

Lahiri, A. (2022). India scores more wins at the cost of China; Apple airpods, beats production could soon shift to the country. Benzinga. October 5. https://www.benzinga.com/news/22/10/29149573/india-scores-more-wins-at-the-cost-of-china-apple-airpods-beats-production-could-soon-shift-to-the-c?utm_campaign=partner_feed&utm_source=yahooFinance&utm_medium=partner_feed&utm_content=site

Mattelart, T. (2021). L'élaboration par YouTube d'un modèle mondial de production de vidéos. Questions de Communication, 40, 119–140.

Microsoft . (2021). Microsoft collaborates with invest India to empower tech startups. August 24. <https://news.microsoft.com/en-in/microsoft-collaborates-with-invest-india-to-empower-tech-startups/>

Miguel, J.-C. , & Izquierdo-Castillo, J. (2019). Who will control the media? The impact of GAFAM on the media industries in the digital economy? Revista Latina de Comunicación Social, 74, 803–821, May.

Ministry of Corporate Affairs, Standing Committee on Finance . (2022). Anti- competitive practices from the big tech companies. Fifty Third Report. December, 4. https://eparlib.nic.in/bitstream/123456789/1464505/1/17_Finance_53.pdf

Mukherjee, R. (2019). Jio sparks disruption 2.0: Infrastructural imaginaries and platform ecosystems in digital India. Media, Culture & Society, 41(2), 175–195.

Mundhra, L. (2022). Govt likely to question Facebook & Twitter over lower compliance to legal notices. Inc42.com. July 12. <https://inc42.com/buzz/govt-likely-to-question-facebook-twitter-over-lower-compliance-to-legal-notice/>

Nieborg, D.B. , & Helmond, A. (2018). The political economy of Facebook's platformization in the mobile ecosystem: Facebook Messenger as a platform instance. *Media, Culture & Society*, 41(02), 1–23.

Nieborg, D.B. , & Poell, T. (2018). The platformization of cultural production: Theorizing the contingent cultural commodity. *New Media & Society*, 20(11), 4275–4292.

Parks, L. , & Starosielski, N. (2015). *Signal traffic: Critical studies of media infrastructures*. University of Illinois Press.

Parthasarathi, V. , Bouquillion, P. , & Ithurbide C. (2023). Cross-sectoral relations in VoD Markets, frontend, backend, and deepend in India. In L. Parks , J. Velkova & S. de Ridder (Eds.), *Media backends: The politics of infrastructure, clouds, and artificial intelligence* (pp. 129–143). University of Illinois Press.

Perrigo, B. (2020). Facebook's ties to India's ruling party complicate its fight against hate speech. *Time*. August 27. <https://time.com/5883993/india-facebook-hate-speech-bjp/>

Phartiyal, S. (2021). Google cloud in partnership with Reliance Jio in boost to 5G plans. *The Economic Times of India*. June 24. <https://economictimes.indiatimes.com/tech/technology/google-cloud-in-partnership-with-reliance-jio-in-boost-to-5g-plans/articleshow/83807719.cms?from=mdr>

Plantin, J.-C. , Lagoze, C. , Edwards, P.N. , & Sandvig, C. (2018). Infrastructure studies meet platform studies in the age of Google and Facebook. *New Media & Society*, 20(1), 293–310.

Plantin, J.-C. , & Punathambekar, A. (2019). Digital media infrastructures: Pipes, platforms, and politics. *Media, Culture & Society*, 41(2), 163–174.

Poell T. , Nieborg, D. , & Duffy, B.E. (2022). *Platforms and cultural production*. Polity Press.

Raghuraman A. (2022). India's data localization pivot can revamp global digital diplomacy. Atlantic Council, South Asia Center. December. <https://www.atlanticcouncil.org/blogs/southasiasource/indias-data-localization-pivot/>

Ramanathan, A. (2023). Google Pay ramps up tech infra to stem loss in market share. *Financial Express*. February 17. <https://www.financialexpress.com/life/technology-google-pay-ramps-up-tech-infra-to-stem-loss-in-market-share-2984050/>

Reuters . (2020). India's Bharti Airtel partners with Amazon to grow cloud business. August 5. <https://www.reuters.com/article/us-bharti-airtel-amazon-com-idUKKCN2511NJ>

Sflc.in . (2022). The information technology (intermediary guidelines and digital media ethics code) amendment rules. October 11. <https://sflc.in/information-technology-intermediary-guidelines-and-digital-media-ethics-code-amendment-rules-2022>

Singh, G. (2018). Microsoft tests show 91% new PCs from India loaded with pirated software. *The Economic Times*. November 2. https://economictimes.indiatimes.com/tech/software/microsoft-tests-show-91-new-pcs-from-india-loaded-with-pirated-software/articleshow/66475487.cms?utm_source=contentofinterest&utm_medium=text&utm_campaign=cppst

Singh, M. (2020a). Google invests \$4.5 billion in India's Reliance Jio platforms. *Techcrunch*. July 15. <https://techcrunch.com/2020/07/15/google-invests-4-5-billion-in-indias-reliance-jio-platforms/>

Singh, M. (2020b). India approves Facebook's \$5.7 billion deal with Reliance Jio Platforms. *TechCrunch*. June 24. <https://techcrunch.com/2020/06/24/india-approves-facebooks-5-7-billion-deal-with-reliance-jio-platforms/>

Sitapati, V. (2021). Facebook and India's paradox of inclusion. *Foreign Affairs*. November 24. <https://www.foreignaffairs.com/articles/india/2021-11-24/facebook-and-indias-paradox-inclusion>

Smyrniaios, N. (2016). L'effet GAFAM: Stratégies et logiques de l'oligopole de l'internet. *Communication & Langages*, 188(2), 61–83. <https://www.cairn.info/revue-communication-et-langages1-2016-2-page-61.htm>

Srnicek, N. (2016). *Platform capitalism*. Polity Press.

Steinberg, M. , Mukherjee, R. , & Punathambekar, A. (2022). Media power in digital Asia: Super apps and megacorps. *Media, Culture & Society*, 44(8), 1405–1419.

Tirole, J.-C. , & Rochet, J. (2003). Platform competition in twosided markets. *Journal of the European Economic Association*, 4(1), 990–1029.

UNESCO , (2023). Institute for Statistics. <https://uis.unesco.org/en/country/in>
Vaidhyathan, S. (2018). *Antisocial media: How Facebook disconnects us and undermines democracy*. Oxford University Press.
World Bank . <https://data.worldbank.org/indicator/NY.GDP.MKTP.CD?locations=IN>

Platformisation of Matchmaking

Respondent 1: Interviewed on phone on 29 May 2021 , Mumbai

Respondent 2: Interviewed on phone on 29 May 2021 , Mumbai

Respondent 3: Interviewed on phone on 2 June 2021 , Mumbai

Respondent 4: Interviewed on phone on 4 June 2021 , Mumbai

Respondent 5: Interviewed on phone on 7 June 2021 , Mumbai

Athique, A. (2018). Media development to media economy. In A. Athique , V. Parthasarathi & S.V. Srinivas (Eds.), *The Indian media economy Vol 1: Industrial dynamics and cultural adaptation* (pp.1–21). Oxford University Press.

Athique, A. , & Baulch, E. (Ed.) (2019). *Digital transactions in Asia: Economic, social and informational processes*. Routledge.

Agrawal, A. (2015). Cyber-matchmaking among Indians: Re-arranging marriage and doing 'kin work'. *South Asian Popular Culture*, 13(1), 15–30.

<https://doi.org/10.1080/14746689.2015.1024591>

Aguiar, L. , & Waldfogel, J. (2018). Netflix: Global Hegemon or facilitator of frictionless digital trade? *Journal of Cultural Economics*, 42(3), 419–445. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10824-017-9315-z>

Ahuja, A. , & Ostermann, S.L. (2016). Crossing caste boundaries in the modern Indian marriage market. *Studies in Comparative International Development*, 51(3), 365–387.

Aisle . (n.d.). Aisle—dating app for Indians. App Store. <https://apps.apple.com/in/app/aisle-dating-app-for-indians/id1001481078>

Albury, K. , Burgess, J. , Light, B. , Race, K. , & Wilken, R. (2017). Data cultures of mobile dating and Hook-up apps: Emerging issues for critical social science research. *Big Data & Society*, 4(2). <https://doi.org/10.1177/2053951717720950>

Andres Coca-Stefaniak , J., Parker, C. , & Rees, P. (2010). Localisation as a marketing strategy for small retailers. *International Journal of Retail & Distribution Management*, 38(9), 677–697.

<https://doi.org/10.1108/09590551011062439>

Athique, A. (2020). Digital emporiums: Evolutionary pathways to platform capitalism. In A. Athique & V. Parthasarathi (Eds.), *Platform capitalism in India* (pp. 23–42). Palgrave.

https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-44563-8_1

Athique, A. , & Parthasarathi, V. (2020). Platform economy and platformization. In A. Athique & V. Parthasarathi (Eds.), *Platform capitalism in India* (pp.1–19). Palgrave.

https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-44563-8_1

Bouquillion, P. (2020). Industrial and financial structures of over-the-tops (OTTs) in India. In A. Athique & V. Parthasarathi (Eds.), *Platform capitalism in India* (pp. 129–149). Palgrave.

https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-44563-8_7

Brochado, A. , Troilo, M. , & Shah, A. (2017). Airbnb customer experience: Evidence of convergence across three countries. *Annals of Tourism Research*, 63, 210–212.

Chakraborty, K. (2012). Virtual mate-seeking in the urban slums of Kolkata, India. *South Asian Popular Culture*, 10(2), 197–216. <https://doi.org/10.1080/14746689.2012.682871>

Cohen, J.E. (2017). Law for the platform economy. *University of California Davis Law Review*, 51, 131–204.

Das, V. (2019a). Dating applications, intimacy, and cosmopolitan desire in India. In A. Punthambekar & S. Mohan (Eds.), *Global digital cultures: Perspectives from South Asia* (pp. 125–141). University of Michigan Press.

Das, V. (2019b). Designing queer connection: An ethnography of dating app production in urban India. *Ethnographic Praxis in Industry Conference Proceedings*, 2019(1), 384–397.

<https://doi.org/10.1111/1559-8918.2019.01295>

Dasgupta, R.K. (2018). Online Romeos and gay-dia: In McNeil, E. , Wermers, J. , Lunn, J. (Eds.), Mapping queer space(s) of praxis and pedagogy. Queer studies and education (pp. 183–200). Palgrave Macmillan, Cham. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-64623-7_10

DNA . (2018). Zomato and tinder launch 'tinderlicious' collection for valentine's day. March 12. <https://www.dnaindia.com/technology/report-zomato-and-tinder-launch-tinderlicious-collection-for-valentine-s-day-2170199>

EquityBulls . (2016). Starbucks and trulymadly are playing cupid this Valentine's. February 3. <https://www.equitybulls.com/category.php?page=8&id=178418>

Facebook . (n.d.). Facebook dating—add love. <https://www.facebook.com/dating/how-to-find/>

Heilpern, W. (2016). Tinder released an ad for its India market—And people are calling it out of touch. Business Insider, May 11, 2016/0, July 26, 2022. <https://www.businessinsider.com/tinders-new-ad-shows-an-indian-parent-relaxed-about-the-hook-up-app-people-arent-convinced-2016-5>

Helmond, A. , Nieborg, D.B. , & van der Vlist, F.N. (2019). Facebook's evolution: Development of a platform-as-infrastructure. *Internet Histories*, 3(2), 123–146. <https://doi.org/10.1080/24701475.2019.1593667>

Hepp, A. (2012). Mediatization and the 'molding force' of the media. *Communications*, 37(1), 1–28. <https://doi.org/10.1515/commun-2012-0001>

Hines, C. (2000). Localisation: The post-Seattle alternative to globalisation. *The Ecologist*, 30(6), 55–55.

Hinge . (2012). 'Hinge takes video dates to a new level with the launch of video prompts.' PRN Newswire. April 12. <https://www.prnewswire.com/news-releases/hinge-takes-video-dates-to-a-new-level-with-the-launch-of-video-prompts-301266327.html>

Kashyap, R. , & Bhatia, A. (2018). Taxi drivers and taxidars: A case study of Uber and Ola in Delhi. *Journal of Developing Societies*, 34(2), 169–194. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0169796X18757144>

Kumar, A. (2019). Informality in the time of platformization. *Media Industries Journal*, 6(2), 261–279. <https://doi.org/10.3998/mij.15031809.0006.207>

Lewis, T. (2017). Adventures in love, risk and romance: Navigating post-traditional social relations on Indian dating shows. *European Journal of Cultural Studies*, 20(1), 56–71. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1367549416640551>

Lotz, A.D. (2017). *Portals: A treatise on internet-distributed television*. Maize Books.

Maurer, B. (2012). Payment: Forms and functions of value transfer in contemporary society. *The Cambridge Journal of Anthropology*, 30(2), 15–35. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/43610872>

MCIT . (2016). Advisory for functioning of matrimonial Websites in accordance with the information technology act, 2000 and rules made thereunder. Ministry of Communication and Information Technology. <https://wcd.nic.in/sites/default/files/Matrimony.pdf>

Mishra, S. , & Jayakar, K. (2019). Remarriage in India: Online presentation strategies of men and women on an Indian remarriage website. *Indian Journal of Gender Studies*, 26(3), 309–335. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0971521519861159>

Ozustun, E. (2015). Media's effect on online dating practices: Turkish TV marriage programs and online dating as a medium. In A. Degim , J. Johnson & T. Fu (Eds.), *Online courtship: Interpersonal interactions across borders* (pp. 262–282). Institute of Network Cultures.

Smythe, D.W. (1977). Critique of the consciousness industry. *Journal of Communication*, 27(1), 197–232. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1460-2466.1977.tb01818.>

xStatista . (2021). Eservices report 2021- dating services. <https://www.statista.com/outlook/dmo/eservices/dating-services/matchmaking/india>

Sundararajan, A. (2016). *The sharing economy: The end of employment and the rise of crowd-based capitalism*. MIT Press.

Sutko, D.M. , & de Souza e Silva, A. (2011). Location-aware mobile media and urban sociability. *New Media & Society*, 13(5), 807–823. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1461444810385202>

The Hindu . (2021). Swipe right on Tinder, get cast in Netflix India's dating reality show'. July 13. <https://www.thehindu.com/entertainment/movies/swipe-right-on-tinder-get-cast-in-netflix-indias-dating-reality-show/article35295975.ece>

The News Minute . (2018). 'More choices, more voices': Tinder diversifies, introduces 23 gender options in India. November 13. <https://www.thenewsminute.com/article/more-choices-more-voices-tinder-diversifies-introduces-23-gender-options-india-91468>

- Titzmann, F. (2013). Changing patterns of matchmaking: The Indian online matrimonial market. *Asian Journal of Women's Studies*, 19(4), 64–94. <https://doi.org/10.1080/12259276.2013.11666166>
- TrulyMadly.com . (n.d.) Best dating app in India|top dating app—truly madly. <https://trulymadly.com/>
- Van der Vlist, F.N. , & Helmond, A. (2021). How partners mediate platform power: Mapping business and data partnerships in the social media ecosystem. *Big Data & Society*, 8(1). <https://doi.org/10.1177/20539517211025061>
- van Dijck, J. , Poell, T. , & De Waal, M. (2018). The platform society: Public values in a connective world. Oxford University Press.
- Van Doorn, N. , Mos, E. , & Bosma, J. (2021). Actually existing platformization: Embedding platforms in urban spaces through partnerships. *South Atlantic Quarterly*, 120(4), 715–731. <https://doi.org/10.1215/00382876-9443280>
- Veel, K. , & Thylstrup, N.B. (2018). Geolocating the stranger: The mapping of uncertainty as a configuration of matching and warranting techniques in dating apps. *Journal of Aesthetics & Culture*, 10(3), 43–52. <https://doi.org/10.1080/20004214.2017.1422924>
- Vila Seoane, M.F. (2021). Data securitisation: The challenges of data sovereignty in India. *Third World Quarterly*, 42(8), 1733–1750. <https://doi.org/10.1080/01436597.2021.1915122>

Cultural Crowdfunding Platforms in Latin America

- Agamben, G. (2014). *Qu'est-ce qu'un dispositif?* Payot & Rivages.
- Banks, M. (2017). *Creative justice: Cultural industries, work and inequality*. Rowman & Littlefield International.
- Belleflamme, P. , Lambert, T. , & Schwienbacher, A. (2014). Crowdfunding: Tapping the right crowd. *Journal of Business Venturing*, 29(5), 610–611.
- Bouquillion, P. (Ed.) (2012). *Creative economy, creative industries: Des notions à traduire*. Presses universitaires de Vincennes.
- Bouquillion, P. , & Matthews, J. (2010). *Le Web collaboratif: Mutations des industries de la culture et de la communication*. Presses Universitaires de Grenoble.
- Florida, R. (2002). *The rise of the creative class and how it's transforming work, leisure and everyday life*. Basic Books.
- Florida, R. (2005a). *The flight of the creative class: The new global competition for talent*. Harper Business.
- Florida, R. (2005b). *Cities and the creative class*. Routledge.
- Fondo Multilateral de Inversiones (FOMIN) . (2014). *Crowdfunding en México: Cómo el poder de las tecnologías digitales está transformando la innovación, el emprendimiento y la inclusión económica*. Banco Interamericano de Desarrollo.
- Fondo Multilateral de Inversiones (FOMIN) . (2016). *Economía colaborativa en América Latina*. Banco Interamericano de Desarrollo. <https://publications.iadb.org/publications/spanish/viewer/Econom%C3%ADa-colaborativa-en-Am%C3%A9rica-Latina.pdf>
- Garnham, N. (2005). From cultural to creative industries. *International Journal of Cultural Policy*, 10(1), 15–29.
- Herrera, D. , & Vadillo, S. (2021). *Sandbox regulatorio multi-jurisdiccional para América Latina y el Caribe*. Banco Interamericano de Desarrollo. <https://publications.iadb.org/es/sandbox-regulatorio-multi-jurisdiccional-para-america-latina-y-el-caribe>
- Howkins, J. (2007). *The creative economy*. The Penguin Press.
- Iturbide, J.R. (2017, June 6). *Crowdfunding como mecanismo de inclusión financiera*. *El Economista*. <https://www.economista.com.mx/opinion/Crowdfunding-como-mecanismo-de-inclusion-financiera-20170606-0009.html>
- Katz, R. (2015). *El ecosistema y la economía digital en América Latina*. CAF – Banco de Desarrollo de América Latina, CEPAL, cet.la, Fundación Telefónica. <https://www.cepal.org/es/publicaciones/38916-ecosistema-la-economia-digital-america-latina>
- Luzardo, A. , de Jesús, D. , & Pérez Kenderish, M. (2017). *Economía naranja*. Banco Interamericano de Desarrollo. <https://publications.iadb.org/es/publicacion/17263/economia->

naranja-innovaciones-que-no-sabias-que-eran-de-america-latina-y-el
Ministério da Cultura . (2011). Plano da Secretaria da economia criativa. Políticas, diretrizes e ações 2011 a 2014. <https://cultura.rs.gov.br/upload/arquivos/carga20211009/28100934-plano-da-secretaria-da-economia-criativa.pdf>
Oakley, K. (2016). Whose creative economy? Inequality and the need for international approaches. *Les Enjeux de L'information et de la Communication*, 17(2), 163–171.
Serra, N. , & Saad Fernandez, R. (2015). Economie criativa: Da discussão do conceito à formulação de políticas públicas. *Revista de Administração e Inovação*, 11(4), 355–372.
Throsby, D. (2008). The concentric circles model of the cultural industries. *Cultural Trends*, 17(3), 147–164.
Vachet, J. (2022). *Fantasy, neoliberalism and precariousness*. Emerald Publishing.
Ziegler, T. et al. (2021). *The 2nd global alternative finance market benchmarking report*. Cambridge Centre for Alternative Finance/University of Cambridge.
<https://www.jbs.cam.ac.uk/faculty-research/centres/alternative-finance/publications/the-2nd-global-alternative-finance-market-benchmarking-report/>

Global Platforms Weaving into the Craft Industry

Agarwal, A. (2019). Amazon to work with artisan cooperatives, back sellers of traditional art. *Business Standard*. June 17, 2019. https://www.business-standard.com/article/companies/amazon-to-work-with-artisan-cooperatives-back-sellers-of-traditional-art-119061701104_1.html
Al Dahdah, M. (2019). Les géants du numérique au chevet de l'Afrique. Le téléphone portable comme nouvel outil de santé globale. *Politique Africaine*, 156(4), 101–119.
Amazon Press . (2021a). Amazon India karigar launches handcrafted, with love. <https://press.aboutamazon.in/news-releases/news-release-details/amazon-india-karigar-launches-handcrafted-love#:~:text=The%20Amazon%20Karigar%20program%20was,commerce%20and%20grow%20their%20businesses>
Amazon Press . (2021b). Get up close with the rich heritage of handloom, handicrafts & more from the North-east. <https://www.aboutamazon.in/news/small-business/get-up-close-with-the-rich-heritage-of-handloom-handicrafts-more-from-the-north-east>
Athique, A. , & Parthasarathi, V. (2020). *Platform capitalism in India*. Global Transformations in Media and Communication Research - A Palgrave and IAMCR Series. Palgrave Macmillan.
Ayyar, R. (2018). TN's loomworld hand-woven products available on Amazon. *Times of India*. January 10, 2018. http://timesofindia.indiatimes.com/articleshow/62445213.cms?utm_source=contentofinterest&utm_medium=text&utm_campaign=cppst
Bouquillion, P. , & Ithurbide, C. (2022). Audiovisual industry and digital platforms in India: A contribution from political economy of communication. *Global Media and Communication*, 18(3), 345–364.
Bouquillion, P. , & Ithurbide, C. (2023). Policy for cultural and creative industries in India: The issue of regulation through digital policy. *Contemporary South Asia*, 31(2), 326–340.
Centre for Internet & Society (CIS) and Azim Premji University (APU) . (2022). *Studying platform work in Mumbai & New Delhi*. Centre for Internet & Society.
Chadha, K. , Kumar, S. , & Parameswaran R. (2021). Introduction to the special issue forum 'Digital cultures of South Asia: Inequalities, informatization, infrastructures'. *Communication, Culture and Critique*, 14(3), 487–490.
Economic Times . (2020). Flipkart inks pact with Assam govt to promote handloom, handicraft sectors. *Economic Times*. August 27, 2020. https://economictimes.indiatimes.com/small-biz/sme-sector/flipkart-inks-pact-with-assam-govt-to-promote-handloom-handicraft-sectors/articleshow/77781856.cms?utm_source=contentofinterest&utm_medium=text&utm_campaign=cppst
Economic Times . (2022). Tamil Nadu SHGs to market their products on Flipkart and Amazon. *Economic Times*. April 20, 2022. <https://government.economictimes.indiatimes.com/news/economy/tamil-nadu-shgs-to-market>

their-products-on-flipkart-and-amazon/90951718?redirect=1

Fitzgerald, S. (2019). Over-the-top video services in India: Media imperialism after globalization. *Media Industries Journal*, 6(2). <https://doi.org/10.3998/mij.15031809.0006.206>

Indian Express . (2020). Products of Vellore SHGs now foray into international market thanks to tie-up with Flipkart. *Indian Express*. October 9, 2020. <https://www.newindianexpress.com/states/tamil-nadu/2020/oct/09/products-of-vellore-shgs-now-foray-into-international-market-thanks-to-tie-up-with-flipkart-2208202.html>

Indian Television . (2014) Indian weavers to get an online platform now. *Indiantelevision.com*. August 25, 2014. <https://www.indiantelevision.com/iworld/e-commerce/indian-weavers-to-get-an-online-platform-now-140825>

Ithurbide, C. , & Rivron, V. (2018). Industries culturelles et plateformes numériques dans les Suds : Des reconfigurations sociales et spatiales en question. *Les Cahiers d'Outre-Mer*, 277, 5–36.

Ithurbide, C. , & Singh, K. M. (2022). Digital platforms and craft workers in the time of Covid. *South Asia Multidisciplinary Academic Journal*, 29. <https://journals.openedition.org/samaj/8198>

Jedlowski, A. (2017). African media and the corporate takeover: Video film circulation in the age of neoliberal transformations. *African Affairs*, 116(465), 671–691.

Kalita, P. (2018) Digital marketing lessons for NE craftsmen. *Times of India*. August 15, 2018. <https://timesofindia.indiatimes.com/city/guwahati/digital-marketing-lessons-for-ne-craftsmen/articleshow/65411236.cms>

Kumar, A. (2019). Informality in times of platformization. *Media Industries Journal*, 6(2). <https://doi.org/10.3998/mij.15031809.0006.207>

Kunapulli, A. (2022). Revising world cinema through Tamil cinema. *Studies in World Cinema*, 2(1–2), 185–207.

Lal, A. , Hesmondhalgh, D. & Umney C. (2023). The changing shape of the Indian recorded music industry in the age of platformisation. *Contemporary South Asia*, 31(2), 291–310. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09584935.2023.2206998>

Lobato, R. (2022). World cinema in the age of Netflix. Foreword. *Studies in World Cinema*, 2, 1–3.

Mohan, S. , & Punathambekar, A. (2018). Localizing YouTube: Language, cultural regions, and digital platforms. *International Journal of Cultural Studies*, 22(3), 317–333.

Mukherjee, R. (2014). Flipkart ties-up with textiles ministry to offer handloom products. *Medianama*, August 26, 2014. <https://www.medianama.com/2014/08/223-flipkart-textiles-ministry-handlooms/>

Mukherjee, R. , & Nizaruddin, F. (2022). Digital platforms in contemporary India: The transformation of quotidian life worlds. *Asiascape: Digital Asia*, 9(1–2), 5–18.

Nair, G. (2022). 'New' terrains of precarity – gig work in India. *Contemporary South Asia*, 30(3), 388–401.

NITI Aayog . (2015). Report of the expert committee on innovation and entrepreneurship. New Delhi. http://niti.gov.in/writereaddata/files/document_publication/report%20of%20the%20expert%20committee.pdf

North Eastern Development Finance Corporation Limited (NEDFi) . (2017). Techno-commercial feasibility study on e-commerce platform for marketing of handloom and handicraft products of the North Eastern Region. NEDFi.

Oyedemi, T.D. (2021). Digital coloniality and 'next billion users': The political economy of Google Station in Nigeria. *Information, Communication & Society*, 24(3), 329–343.

Planning Commission of India . (2005). Towards the national mission for creative and cultural industries, taskforce for creative and cultural industry (3 vol.). Government of India.

Salman, S.H. (2023). Amazon India ties up with textile ministry to train weavers and list handloom products. *Medianama*. <https://www.medianama.com/2016/05/223-amazon-india-handloom/>

Singh, B. (2017). Facebook, Assam government collaborate to help businesses. *Economic Times*. May 11, 2017. https://economictimes.indiatimes.com/small-biz/entrepreneurship/facebook-assam-government-collaborate-to-help-businesses/articleshow/58629787.cms?utm_source=contentofinterest&utm_medium=text&utm_campaign=cppst

Singh, M. (2021). Amazon acquires Indian retail startup Perpule. Techcrunch.com. <https://techcrunch.com/2021/03/30/amazon-acquires-indian-retail-startup-perpule/?guccounter=1>

Taylor, L. , & Broeders, D. (2015). In the name of development: Power, profit and the datafication of the Global South. *Geoforum*, 64, 229–237.

Tiwary, I. (2020). Amazon Prime Video: A platform ecosphere. In: A. Athique , & V. Parthasarathi (Eds.), *Platform capitalism in India. Global transformations in media and communication research - A Palgrave and IAMCR Series* (pp. 87–106). Palgrave Macmillan.

The Hindu . (2020). SHGs undergo training for e-commerce. *The Hindu*. February 10, 2020. <https://www.thehindu.com/news/cities/Coimbatore/shgs-undergo-training-for-e-commerce/article30786179.ece>

From Weixin to WeChat

Cai, W. , & Weng, Z. (2013). WeChat subscription account: Another basis for change in reporting - the case of 'CCTV news'. *Shanghai Journalism Review*, 7, 40–44.

Central Committee of the Communist Party of China and the State Council . (2014). National urbanization plan for 2014–2020, March 16.

Chen, Y. (2017). Social media and identification of overseas Chinese: A study on the usage of WeChat of Chinese immigrant women in Switzerland. *Journalism Evolution*, 1, 54–60.

Chen, Y. , Wu, J. , & Chung Y. (2008). Cultural impact on trust: A comparison of virtual communities in China, Hong Kong, and Taiwan. *Journal of Global Information Technology Management*, 11(1), 28–48.

China Academy of Information and Communications Technology Industry and Planning Research . (2018). 2017 Weixin economic and social impact study report.

China Internet Network Information Center . (2017). The 39th China statistical report on internet development.

China National Development and Reform Commission . (2022). Promoting the international development of China's platform companies. January 19.

https://www.ndrc.gov.cn/xxgk/jd/jd/202201/t20220119_1312339.html?code=&state=123 [accessed April 08, 2023].

Cochoy, F. , & Smolinski, J. (2016). Traces and consumer spaces: Socio-geographical digital technology of QR-code use. *Netcom*, 30, 61–80.

CompaniesMarketCap . (2023). Global ranking: Largest companies by market cap. April. <https://companiesmarketcap.com/> [accessed April 28, 2023].

Creemers, R. (2015). The pivot of Chinese E-governance. *Perspectives Chinoises*, 4, 5–14.

Davis, M. , & Xiao, J. (2021). De-westernizing platform studies: History and logics of Chinese and US platforms. *International Journal of Communication*, 15, 103–122.

Fang, X. , Pan, K. , Li, Z. , & Zhang, J. (2014). Chinese internet in 20 years: 3 waves and 3 innovations. *Shanghai Journalism Review*, 4, 3–14.

Hong, Y. , & Harwit, E. (2020). China's globalizing internet: History, power, and governance. *Chinese Journal of Communication*, 13(1), 1–7.

Hu, Z. (2015). The key and the way to integrate traditional media with emerging media. *News & Writing*, 5, 22–26.

Ipsos . (2019). 2019 Q1 Third-Party Mobile payment user research report. May 22.

<https://www.ipsos.com/zh-cn/yipusuoipsoszhongbang-2019diyijidudisanfangyidongzhifuyonghuanjiubaogao> [accessed August 18, 2023].

Jiang, J. (2017). Gambling and social illusion: Ethical reflection on the chaos of red envelopes seized by WeChat groups. *Nanjing Journal of Social Sciences*, 3, 113–120.

Keane, M. , & Wu, H. (2018). Lofty ambitions, new territories, and turf battles: China's platforms 'go out'. *Media Industries*, 5(1), 51–68.

Lai, X. , & Tian, Z. (2019). Social media internationalization: The global picture and China's way forward. *Contemporary Communication*, 4, 54–58.

Liu, H. (2019). An Interpretation of the social and cultural significance of WeChat's red envelopes - based on the survey of a WeChat group from the 'post 70s'. *Journal of Western*, 3, 102–107.

- Liu, P. , Hou, D. , & Zhang, F. (2018). Motivations and challenges of China's mobile payment internationalization. *Practice in Foreign Economic Relations and Trade*, 5, 57–60.
- Luo, Z. (2014). The internationalization of WeChat and its implications for media 'going out'. *International Communications*, 1, 52–53.
- Miège, B. (2020). *The ongoing digitization of society: Benchmarks and challenges*. Grenoble, PUG.
- Nye, J.S. (1990). *Bound to lead: The changing nature of American power*. Basic Books.
- Peng, L. (2015). Scene: The new elements of media in the mobile era. *Shanghai Journalism Review*, 3, 20–27.
- Peng, L. (2017). *Introduction to network communication*. China Renmin University Press.
- Plantin, J.-C. , & De Seta, G. (2019). WeChat as infrastructure: The techno-nationalist shaping of Chinese digital platforms. *Chinese Journal of Communication*, 12(3), 257–273.
- Shaikh, S. (2018). How WeChat faded into the silence in India. *Factor Daily*. October 08. <https://archive.factordaily.com/how-wechat-faded-into-the-silence-in-india/> [accessed April 08, 2023].
- Shen, S. , & Chen, Y. (2015). The impact of WeChat's red envelopes on interpersonal relationships. *Public Communication of Science & Technology*, 8, 110–111.
- Shen, C. , & Luo, Z. (2017). Research on the duality of WeChat red envelopes social and payment functions. *Journalism Lover*, 3, 59–64.
- Statistics Bureau of China Government . (2020). Resident income and consumer spending in 2019. http://www.gov.cn/xinwen/2020-01/17/content_5470095.htm [accessed April 08, 2023].
- Tang, M. (2020). *Tencent: The political economy of China's surging internet giant*. Routledge.
- Tencent . (2015). *For the connection 2013–2014, Tencent corporate social responsibility report*.
- Tencent . (2019). *2019 WeChat data report*.
- Tencent . (2020). Weixin open course pro. <https://www.tencent.com/en-us/media/weixin-livestream.html> [accessed April 20, 2023].
- Tencent . (2023a). *2022 Fourth quarter and annual results of Tencent*.
- Tencent . (2023b). *2023 WeChat spring festival data report*.
- The State Council . (2015). Premier urges use of Internet Plus to boost growth. June 25. http://english.www.gov.cn/premier/news/2015/06/25/content_281475134144826.htm [accessed April 29, 2023].
- Wu, X. (2017). *Tencent 1998-2016: Survivor, challenger and leader*. Zhejiang University Press.
- Xi, J. (2013). Xi Jinping: Ideological work is an extremely important task of the party. *Xinhua*. August 20. http://www.xinhuanet.com//politics/2013-08/20/c_117021464.htm [accessed April 08, 2023].
- Xiang, D. (2017). From the internationalization of WeChat to the participation of social capital in foreign communication. *International Communications*, 5, 22–23.
- Xiang, D. , & Lv, F. (2020). Opportunities and challenges of China's social media expansion overseas. *International Communications*, 1, 19–21.
- Yang, W. , Li, J. , & Jia, X. (2020). Observation of the characteristics of Chinese social media overseas development and international communication. *International Communications*, 11, 10–13.
- Ye, P. , & Albornoz, L. A. (2018). Chinese media 'going out' in Spanish speaking countries: The case of CGTN-español. *Westminster Papers in Communication and Culture*, 13(1), 81–97.
- Ye, N. , & Hu, L. (2020). Research on performance of Tencent's cross-border mergers and acquisitions. *Exploration of Financial Theory*, 189, 61–68.
- Yin, C. , & Zhou, Y. (2020). Discussion on the development of China mobile payment internationalization under the background of cultural confidence. *Economic Forum*, 3, 35–46.
- Zhang, F. (2016). A study on the mode of operation of WeChat red envelopes in Chinese family relationships during the spring festival: An analysis based on media anthropology. *Nanjing Journal of Social Sciences*, 11, 106–107.
- Zhang, L. , & Li, Y. (2018). The changing media function of WeChat red envelopes in the era of dual currencies. *Journal of Zhejiang University of Technology (Social Science)*, 4, 425–430.
- Zhang, L. , & Zhou, M. (2017). WeChat marketing strategies for promoting E-commerce in China. *Journal of Business Research*, 71, 136–141.
- Zhang, S. , & Jin, Y. (2021). Research on the current situation and strategies of online games 'going abroad' under the wave of internationalization - taking Tencent games as an example. *New Media Research*, 16, 101–106.

Zhang, Y. (2021). Interaction between the industrialisation and commodification of digital social networks and the transformation of media devices: The case of WeChat. Sorbonne University.

Zheng, S. (2015). The globalisation of mobile social media. *Journalism Lover*, 1, 85–87.

Zhu, T. (2014). Analysis of our country's social applications going out from the perspective of WeChat internationalization. *Knowledge Economy*, 18, 48–49.

Netflix's First Decade of Presence in Latin America

Afilipoaie, A. , Iordache, C. , & Raats, T. (2021). The 'Netflix Original' and what it means for the production of European TV content. *Critical Studies in Television*, 16(3), 304–325.

Albornoz, L.A. (2020). Netflix en tiempos de coronavirus. *Revista de Occidente*, 472, 87–104.

Aloi, R. (2017). Netflix anuncia nova série Brasileira: A comédia 'Samantha'. *Veja*. February 7. <https://veja.abril.com.br/cultura/netflix-anuncia-nova-serie-brasileira-a-comedia-samantha/>

ANCINE (National Film Agency, Brazil) . (2017). Relatório de consulta pública sobre a notícia regulatória sobre a comunicação audiovisual sob demanda e recomendações da ANCINE para uma regulação da comunicação audiovisual sob demanda. Ministry of Culture.

BB Media . (2022). BB book 2022. Plataformas de streaming y televisión.

Bennett, B. (2019). Here's an inside look at how Netflix dubs shows in other languages. *MobileSyrup*. April 12. <https://mobilesyrup.com/2019/04/12/heres-an-inside-look-at-how-netflix-dubs-shows-in-other-languages/>

Brenan, L. (2018). How Netflix expanded to 190 countries in 7 years. *Harvard Business Review*. October 12. <https://hbr.org/2018/10/how-netflix-expanded-to-190-countries-in-7-years>

Business Financing . (2021). The most popular consumer brand in every country. *BusinessFinancing.co.uk*. <https://businessfinancing.co.uk/the-most-popular-consumer-brand-in-every-country/>

Chalaby, J. K. (2002). Transnational television in Europe. *European Journal of Communication*, 17(2), 183–203.

Chevalier Naranjo , S. (2023). ¿Cuáles son los principales rivales de Netflix en América Latina? *Statista*. March 1. <https://es.statista.com/grafico/29404/servicios-de-streaming-con-mas-suscriptores-en-america-latina/>

Clark, T. (2022). Netflix's workforce peaked in 2021 but the company has made hundreds of cuts this year. *Business Insider*. June 24. <https://www.businessinsider.com/more-netflix-layoffs-hundreds-jobs-cut-2022-6>

Cornelio-Marí, E.M. (2020). Mexican Melodrama in the age of Netflix: Algorithms for cultural proximity. *Comunicación y Sociedad*, 17, 1–27. <https://doi.org/10.32870/cys.v2020.7481>

CSC (Council Superior of Cinema, Brazil) . (2015). Desafios para a regulamentação do vídeo sob demanda. Ministry of Culture.

De Marchi, L. , & Ladeira, J.M. (2019). Cultural diversity and regulation in Brazil. The debate about the on-demand audiovisual market. In L.A. Albornoz & M.T. García Leiva (Eds.), *Audiovisual industrial and diversity: Economic and policies in the digital era*, 169–184. Routledge.

De Marchi, L. , & Ladeira, J.M. (2022). Originais Netflix. Um panorama da produção audiovisual da Netflix no Brasil 2016–2019. mimeo.

ECLAC (United Nations Economic Commission for Latin America and the Caribbean) (2022). Social panorama of Latin America and the Caribbean 2022: Transforming education as a basis for sustainable development. United Nations.

Fernandes, M.R. , & Albornoz, L.A. (2023). Netflix's strategy to influence policy on audiovisual services in Latin America. *Journal of Digital Media & Policy*, 14(2), 249–268 (special issue: Emerging Debates on Internet Platform Policy and Regulation in Latin America).

Gómez, R. , & Muñoz Larroa, A. (2023). Netflix in México: An example of the tech giant's transnational business strategies. *Television & New Media*, 24(1), 88–105.

Guiduci, I. (2020). Qual será o futuro das produções originais da Netflix Brasil? *Rolling Stone Brasil*. July 8. <https://rollingstone.uol.com.br/noticia/qual-sera-o-futuro-das-producoes-originais-da-netflix-brasil/>

Hecht, J. (2019). How Indie producer Argos (with a lot of help from Netflix) transformed Mexican TV. *The Hollywood Reporter*. August 14. <https://www.hollywoodreporter.com/news/general->

news/how-indie-producer-argos-netflix-transformed-mexican-tv-1224880/
Hernández, M. , & Aguilar, R. (2021). Netflix invertirá más de 300 millones de dólares en México para 2021. *Forbes México*. January 25. <https://www.forbes.com.mx/exclusiva-netflix-invertira-mas-de-300-millones-de-dolares-en-mexico-para-2021/>

Lauterjung, F. (2019). Netflix investirá R\$ 350 milhões em 2020 no Brasil. *Tela Viva*. October 29. <https://telaviva.com.br/29/10/2019/netflix-investira-r-350-milhoes-em-2020-no-brasil/>

Lauterjung, F. (2021). Netflix apresenta conteúdos originais e promete ampliar investimento no Brasil. *Tela Viva*. April 14. <https://telaviva.com.br/14/04/2021/netflix-apresenta-conteudos-originais-e-promete-ampliar-investimento-no-brasil/>

Lobato, R. (2019). *Netflix nations: The geography of digital distribution*. New York University Press.

Markin, M. (2022). Netflix COO reveals scale of dubbing and subtitling operations. *Slator*. January 21. <https://slator.com/netflix-coo-reveals-scale-of-dubbing-subtitling-operations/>

Mastrini, G. , & Krakowiak, F. (2021). Netflix in Argentina: Accelerated expansion and little local production. *Comunicación y Sociedad*, 18, 1–22. <https://doi.org/10.32870/cys.v2021.7915>

Melo, A. (2019). Netflix investirá R\$ 350 milhões em produções brasileiras em 2020. *ValorInveste*. October 29. <https://valorinveste.globo.com/mercados/renda-variavel/empresas/noticia/2019/10/29/netflix-investir-r-350-milhes-em-produes-brasileiras-em-2020.ghtml>

Meimaridis, M. , Mazur, D. , & Rios, D. (2020). The streaming wars in the global periphery: A glimpse from Brazil. *Series-International Journal of TV Serial Narratives*, 6(1), 65–76.

Meimaridis, M. , Mazur, D. , & Rios, D. (2021). From São Paulo to Seoul: Netflix's strategies in peripheral markets. *Comunicación y Sociedad*, 18, 1–26. <https://doi.org/10.32870/cys.v2021.8038>

Netflix . (2011a). Letter to shareholders Q2 2011, July 25.

Netflix . (2011b). Letter to shareholders Q3 2011, October 24.

Netflix . (2011c). Netflix arrives in Mexico, Central America and the Caribbean. <https://www.prnewswire.com/news-releases/netflix-arrives-in-mexico-central-america-and-the-caribbean-129664183.html>

Netflix . (2012). Letter to shareholders Q1 2012, April 23.

Netflix . (2019). Netflix expands its presence in Mexico, announcing more than 50 projects in the country and the opening of a Mexico City office, February 12.

Netflix . (2021). Netflix opens debate about the importance of Brazilian storytelling, with focus on development and new creators, November 24.

Netflix . (2022a). Netflix announces start of production of upcoming Brazilian films and series, including four new titles, September 5.

Netflix . (2022b). Actualización sobre funciones pagas para compartir cuentas, July 18.

Netflix . (2023). Letter to shareholders Q4 2022, January 19.

OECD (Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development) . (2012). *OECD review of telecommunication policy and regulation in Mexico*. OECD Publishing.

Olavarría, D. , Luzardo, A. , & Mateo-Berganza Díaz, M.M. (2021). Behind the camera: Creativity and investment for Latin America and the Caribbean: Learnings from conversations with key players in the audiovisual sector. *InterAmerican Development Bank (IDB)*.

Penner, T.A. , & Straubhaar, J.D. (2020). Netflix originals and exclusively licensed titles in Brazilian catalog: A mapping producing countries. *Revista MATRIZES*, 14(1), 125–149.

Pérez, D.M. (2021). México busca una alternativa para grabar a las grandes plataformas digitales. *El País*. April 15. <https://elpais.com/mexico/2021-04-15/mexico-busca-una-via-alternativa-para-gravar-a-las-grandes-plataformas-digitales.html>

Revista Forum, Redacción . (2018). Governo entrega por decreto Conselho Superior de Cinema a grandes grupos estrangeiros. *Revista Forum*. December 5. <https://revistaforum.com.br/cultura/2018/12/5/governo-entrega-por-decreto-conselho-superior-de-cinema-grandes-grupos-estrangeiros-36747.html>

RPP, Redacción . (2021). ¿En qué países de Latinoamérica se paga más por la suscripción a Netflix? *RPP*. October 11. <https://rpp.pe/tecnologia/mas-tecnologia/netflix-en-que-paises-de-latinoamerica-se-paga-mas-por-la-suscripcion-noticia-1362466>

Spangler, T. (2022). Netflix Ad tier launch moved up to November to get ahead of Disney+, Streamer tells ad buyers. *Variety*. September 1. <https://variety.com/2022/digital/news/netflix-ad-tier-launch-november-1235357465/>

Tela Viva , Redação. (2021). Netflix doa R\$3 milhões à APAN por meio do FAPAN – Fundo de Amparo a Profissionais do Audiovisual Negro. Tela Viva. March 16. <https://telaviva.com.br/16/03/2021/netflix-doa-r3-milhoes-a-apan-por-meio-do-fapan-fundo-de-amparo-a-profissionais-do-audiovisual-negro/>

Toledo, M. (2021). Netflix investirá no desenvolvimento de 40 novas ideias nacionais para 2022. Tela Viva. November 23. <https://telaviva.com.br/23/11/2021/netflix-investira-no-desenvolvimento-de-40-novas-ideias-nacionais-para-2022/>

UNWTO (World Tourism Organization) . (2021). Cultural affinity and screen tourism – the case of internet entertainment services. UNWTO.

Westcott, T. (2022). Netflix originals: Making a difference in Latin America. November 11. Omdia.

Cultural Policies for the SVoD Market in Brazil

ANCINE (Agência Nacional de Cinema) . (2017a). Relatório de consulta publica sobre a noticia regulatória sobre a comunicação audiovisual sob demanda e recomendações da ANCINE para uma regulação da comunicação audiovisual sob demanda. ANCINE.

ANCINE . (2017b). Uma nova política para o audiovisual: Agência Nacional do Cinema, os primeiros 15 anos. ANCINE.

ANCINE . (2021). Panorama e desafios da regulação do segmento de TV Paga: contribuições da ANCINE para o grupo de trabalho do Ministério das Comunicações. ANCINE.

Benício, J. (2022). Acha que a TV está morrendo? Veja o faturamento com mídia. Portal Terra. April 8, 2022. <https://www.terra.com.br/diversao/tv/acha-que-a-tv-esta-morrendo-veja-o-faturamento-com-midia,cae181a506639de1bb9688a006374bf33tx9ypor.html> [accessed March 18, 2023].

Brazil. Câmara dos Deputados . (2017). Projeto de lei no 8889, de 2017. Dispõe sobre a provisão de conteúdo audiovisual por demanda (CAvD) e dá outras providências. Brasília. https://www.camara.leg.br/proposicoesWeb/prop_mostrarintegra?codteor=1612085

Bresser-Pereira, L.C. (2009). From old to new developmentalism in Latin America. Fundação Getúlio Vargas. <https://bibliotecadigital.fgv.br/dspace/bitstream/handle/10438/2682/TD%20193%20-%20Luiz%20Carlos%20Bresser%20Pereira.pdf>

CSC (Conselho Superior de Cinema) . (2015). Desafios para a regulamentação do vídeo sob demanda. Consolidação da visão do Conselho Superior do Cinema sobre a construção de um marco regulatório do serviço de vídeo sob demanda. ANCINE.

CSC . (2017). Desafios para a regulação do Vídeo sob demanda: Consolidação da visão do Conselho Superior do Cinema sobre a construção de um marco regulatório do serviço de vídeo sob demanda. ANCINE.

CSC . (2018). Relatório preliminar do Grupo de Trabalho para elaboração de proposição legislativa para regulamentação dos serviços de vídeo sob demanda (VoD). ANCINE.

De Marchi, L. (2017). Da cultura como política aos perigos para as políticas culturais: uma revisão do MinC (2003–2016) e comentários sobre o futuro das políticas culturais. In L.M. Monteiro and L. Santana (Eds.), *Temerosas transações: Ensaio sobre o golpe recente no Brasil* (pp. 111–128). Essere nel Mondo.

De Marchi, L. , & Ladeira, J.M. (2019). Cultural diversity and regulation in Brazil: The debate about the on-demand audiovisual market. In L.A. Albornoz & M.T.G. Leiva (Eds.), *Audiovisual industries and diversity* (pp. 169–184). Routledge.

Grossmann, L.O. (2020). Anatel dá vitória à Fox contra Claro e decide que conteúdo na internet é SVA. Convergência Digital. Brasília. November 09, 2020. <https://www.convergenciadigital.com.br/Internet/Anatel-da-vitoria-a-Fox-contra-a-Claro-e-decide-que-conteudo-na-internet-e-SVA-54813.html> [accessed 17 November 2022].

Ladeira, J.M. (2017). Imitação do excesso: Televisão, streaming e o Brasil. Folio Digital.

MiniCom (Ministério das Comunicações). (2021). GT-SeAC: Minuta de relatório para a discussão com a sociedade. Ministério das Comunicações.

Moody, R. (2021). Netflix subscribers and revenue by country. Comparitech. <https://www.comparitech.com/tv-streaming/netflix-subscribers/>

Penner, T.A. , & Straubhaar, J.D. (2020). Netflix originals and exclusively licensed titles in Brazilian catalog: A mapping producing countries. *Matrizes*, São Paulo, 14(1):125–149.

Possebon, S. Interview with Samuel Possebon. Interviewer, Leonardo De Marchi. Rio de Janeiro (Google Meet), June 10th 2022.

Ravache, G. (2022). Por que o Brasil está se tornando o primo pobre do streaming no mundo. *UOL Notícias*. São Paulo. <https://www.uol.com.br/#404>

The Ministry of Culture (MinC) (Ministério da Cultura do Brasil) . (2018). Proposta de diretrizes para desenvolvimento do setor audiovisual brasileiro por contribuição dos serviços de vídeo on demand. Ministério da Cultura.

Streaming the Middle East

Abu-Lughod, L. (2005). *Dramas of nationhood: The politics of television in Egypt*. University of Chicago Press.

Al Rawi , A. (2021). *Cyber wars in the Middle East*. Rutgers University Press.

Arab Advisors Group . (2019). Middle East and North Africa pay TV forecasts. <https://arabadvisors.com/>

Arab Media Outlook . (2021). MENA entertainment and media outlook 2020–2024. <https://www.pwc.com/m1/en/publications/mena-entertainment-media-outlook-2020-2024.html>

Arab News . (2021). Inside OSN streaming's digital transformation. Arab News. July 25. <https://www.arabnews.com/node/1899036/media>

Arabian Business . (2018). How YouTube plans to stay ahead in the Middle East. Arabian Business. August 15. <https://www.arabianbusiness.com/industries/media/400686-how-youtube-plans-to-stay-ahead-in-the-middle-east>

Barros, I. (n.d.). Netflix's international expansion strategy. Synergos Consultancy Services. <https://synergos.biz/netflix-international-expansion-strategy/>

Buskens, I. , & Webb, A. (2014) *Women and ICT in Africa and the Middle East*. Zed Books.

BBC X-tra . (2018). Anghami, a legal musical library [Video] (episode 2, part 2). YouTube. April 18. <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=bs2D68rh394>

Curley, N. (2012). Lebanon's Anghami launches the first music streaming platform for the Middle East. *Wamda*. November 5. <https://www.wamda.com/2012/11/lebanons-anghami-launches-the-first-music-streaming-platform-for-the-middle-east-promocode-included>

Digital Bedu . (2021). V1.1.0: Elie Habib, Anghami: An interview. Clubhouse, March 10.

Dubai Internet City . (n.d.). About DIC. <https://www.dubaiinternetcity.com/en/about-dic/about-dic>

Dubai Media City . (n.d.). About DMC. <https://www.dubaimediacity.com/en/about-dmc/about-dmc>

Easton, J. (2022). Arabic SVOD base to more than double within five years. *Digital TV Europe*. August 22. <https://www.digitaltveurope.com/2022/08/22/arabic-svod-base-to-more-than-double-within-five-years/>

Fahmy, Z. (2011). *Ordinary Egyptians*. Stanford University Press, 2011.

Fleischer, R. (2020). Universal spotification? The shifting meanings of 'Spotify' as a model for the media industries. *Popular Communication*, 19(1), 14–25. <https://doi.org/10.1080/15405702.2020.1744607>

Frishkopf, M. (2010). *Music and media in the Arab World*. American University in Cairo Press.

Gabr, A. (2013). Digital music app Zambaleeta launches in Egypt, offering access via prepaid cards. *Wamda*. December 3. <https://www.wamda.com/2013/12/zambaleeta>

GlobalWebIndex . (2017). Daily time spent streaming music worldwide as of 2nd Quarter 2017, by region. Statista. December. www.statista.com/statistics/789940/timespent-music-streaming-region/

GSMA . (2022). *The mobile economy Middle East & North Africa 2022*. https://www.gsma.com/mobileeconomy/wp-content/uploads/2022/05/GSMA_MENA_ME2022_R_WebSingles.pdf

IFPI . (2021). *Global music report 2021: State of the industry*. https://gmr2021.ifpi.org/assets/GMR2021_State%20of%20the%20Industry.pdf

Johnson, D. (Ed.) (2022). *From networks to Netflix*. Routledge.

Jones, M. (2022). *Digital authoritarianism in the Middle East*. Oxford University Press.

Kasule, M. (2023). MENA OTT revenues to double by 2028, with Netflix a big winner. *Digital TV Europe*. February 16. <https://www.digitaltveurope.com/2023/02/16/mena-ott-revenues-to-double-by-2028-with-netflix-a-big-winner/>

Kemp, S. (2021). *Digital 2021: Saudi Arabia*. February 10. <https://datareportal.com/reports/digital-2021-saudi-arabia>

Kemp, S. (2023). *Digital 2023: Global Overview Report*. <https://datareportal.com/reports/digital-2023-global-overview-report>

Kerr, S. (2019). Saudi-backed broadcaster launches video challenge to Netflix. *Financial Times*. January 8. <https://www.ft.com/content/7d64039c-1295-11e9-a581-4ff78404524e>

Khalil, J.F. (2023). Beyond revolutions, digital media economy in the Middle East: Continuing legacies and emerging disjunctures. In T. Flew, J. Holt & J. Thomas (Eds.), *The Sage Handbook of the digital media economy* (pp. 405–425). Sage Publications.

Khalil, J.F., & Zayani, M. (2021). Deterritorialized digital capitalism and the predicament of the nation state: Netflix in Arabia. *Media, Culture & Society*, 43(2), 201–218.

Khalil, J.F., & Zayani, M. (2022). Digitality and music streaming in the Middle East: Anghami and the burgeoning start-up culture. *International Journal of Communication*, 16, 1532–1550.

Kraidy, M., & Khalil, J.F. (2010). *Arab television industries*. British Film Institute.

Mihoub-Dramé, S. (2005). *Internet dans le monde arabe*. L'Harmattan.

MiSK . (n.d.). *Accelerator*. <https://hub.misk.org.sa/programs/entrepreneurship/misk-accelerator/>

OSN . (n.d.). *About us*. <https://www.osn.com/en-ae/corporate/about>

Rozeernal, R. (Ed.) (2022). *Cyber Muslims: Mapping Islamic digital media in the internet age*. Bloomsbury Academic.

Sakr, N. (2007). *Arab television today*. I.B. Tauris.

Sindakis, S., & Aggarwal, S. (2022). *Entrepreneurial rise in the Middle East and North Africa*. Emerald Publishing.

Stassen, M. (2019). Why Anghami with over 1M paying users, doesn't feel threatened by Spotify in the Middle East. *Music Business Worldwide*. March 5. <https://www.musicbusinessworldwide.com/anghami-with-over-1m-paying-users-doesnt-feel-threatened-by-spotify-in-the-middle-east/>

Statista . (2023a). *Music streaming – Saudi Arabia*. <https://www.statista.com/outlook/dmo/digital-media/digital-music/music-streaming/saudi-arabia?currency=USD&locale=en>

Statista . (2023b). *Digital media - GCC*. <https://www.statista.com/outlook/dmo/digital-media/gcc>

The Guardian (2022). Six Gulf States warn Netflix over content violating 'Islamic values'. *The Guardian*. September 7. <https://www.theguardian.com/world/2022/sep/07/six-gulf-states-warn-netflix-over-content-violating-islamic-values>

Zayani, M. (Ed.) (2019). *Digital Middle East*. Oxford University Press.

Zayani, M. (2021). Digital journalism, social media platforms, and audience engagement: The case of AJ+. *Digital Journalism*, 9(1), 24–41. <https://doi.org/10.1080/21670811.2020.1816140>

Hidden in Plain Sight

Adejunmobi, M. (2007). Nigerian video film as minor transnational practice. *Postcolonial Text*, 3(2), 1–16.

Adejunmobi, M. (2014). Evolving Nollywood templates for minor transnational film. *Black Camera: An International Film Journal*, 5(2), 74–94.

Agina, A., & Hediger, V. (2020). Nollywood and Netflix's burgeoning relationship. *The Nation*. December 1. <https://thenationonlineng.net/nollywood-and-netflixs-burgeoning-relationship/>

Burgess, J. (2006). Hearing ordinary voices: Cultural studies, vernacular creativity and digital storytelling. *Continuum: Journal of Media & Cultural Studies*, 20(2), 201–214.

Couldry, N., & Mejias, U.A. (2019). *The costs of connection: How data is colonizing human life and appropriating it for capitalism*. Stanford University Press.

- Cunningham, S. , Craig, D. , & Silver, J. (2016). YouTube, multichannel networks and the accelerated evolution of the new screen ecology. *Convergence*, 22(4), 376–391.
- Deleuze, G. , & Guattari, F. (1986). *Kafka: Toward a minor literature*. University of Minnesota Press.
- Englert, B. (2017). Popular and mobile: Reflections on using YouTube as an archive from an African studies perspective. In T. Spielbuechler & M. Wurzer (Eds.), *Afrika – zugänge und einordnungen* (pp. 51–71). *Afrikaforschung in Österreich*.
- Esene, I. (2016). The media blog: Jade Osiberu created the magic that's Ndani TV – and now she is ready for her close-up with Isoken. *Ynaija.com*. August 17. <https://ynaija.com/media-blog-jade-osiberu-created-magic-thats-ndani-tv-now-ready-close-isoken/>.
- Ganti, T. (2015). Fuzzy numbers: The productive nature of ambiguity in the Hindi film industry. *Comparative Studies of South Asia, Africa and the Middle East*, 35(3), 451–465.
- Haynes, J. (2014). 'New Nollywood': Kunle Afolayan. *Black Camera: An International Film Journal*, 5(2), 53–73.
- Haynes, J. (2016). *Nollywood: The creation of Nigerian film genres*. University of Chicago Press.
- Haynes, J. (2018a). Between the informal sector and transnational capitalism: Transformations of Nollywood. In K. Harrow & C. Garritano (Eds.), *A companion to African cinema* (pp. 244–268). John Wiley & Sons.
- Haynes, J. (2018b). Keeping up: The corporatization of Nollywood's economy and paradigms for studying African screen media. *Africa Today*, 64(4), 3–29.
- Jedlowski, A. (2022). Toward the platformization of African film distribution? Netflix in Nigeria. *Studies in World Cinema*, 2(1–2), 98–127.
- Jedlowski, A. (2013). From Nollywood to Nollyworld: Processes of transnationalization in the Nigerian video film industry. In M. Krings & O. Okome (Eds.), *Global Nollywood: The transnational dimensions of an African video film industry* (pp. 25–45). Indiana University Press.
- Jedlowski, A. (2015). Avenues of participation and strategies of control: Video film production and social mobility in Ethiopia and Southern Nigeria. In M. Banks , B. Conon & V. Mayer , (Eds.), *Production studies, the sequel: Cultural studies of global media industries* (pp.175–186). Routledge.
- Jedlowski, A. (2017). African media and the corporate takeover: Video film circulation in the age of neoliberal transformations. *African Affairs*, 116(465), 671–691.
- Jenkins, H. , Clinton, K. , Purushotma, R. , Robison, A.J. , & Weigel, M. (2009). *Confronting the challenges of participatory culture: Media education for the 21st century*. MIT Press.
- Larkin, B. (2004). Degraded images, distorted sounds: Nigerian video and the infrastructure of piracy. *Public Culture*, 16(2), 289–314.
- Larkin, B. (2019). The grounds of circulation: Rethinking African film and media. *Politique Africaine*, 153(1), 105–126.
- Lionnet, F. , & Shih, S.M. (Eds.) (2005). *Minor transnationalism*. Duke University Press.
- Lobato, R. (2016). The cultural logic of digital intermediaries: YouTube multichannel networks. *Convergence*, 22(4), 348–360.
- Miller, J.L. (2016). *Nollywood central: The Nigerian videofilm industry*. BFI/Palgrave MacMillan.
- Robinson, M.J. (2017). *Television on demand: Curatorial culture and the transformation of TV*. Bloomsbury.
- Simon, G.I. (2021). Adapting to context: Creative strategies of video streaming services in Nigeria. *Convergence*, 27(6), 1770–1788.
- Srnicek, N. (2017). *Platform capitalism*. John Wiley & Sons.
- UNESCO . (2021). *The African film industry: Trends, challenges and opportunities for growth*. UNESCO.

What Mobile Telephony Is Doing to West African Music. The ZikMali Project

- Arendt, H. (1983 [1961]). *Condition de l'homme moderne*. Calmann-Lévy.
- Auray, N. (2011). La consommation en régime d'abondance. La confrontation aux offres culturelles dites illimitées. *Revue Française de Socio-Économie*, 8, 85–102.
- Banégas, C. , & Warnier, J.-P. (2001). Nouvelles figures de la réussite et du pouvoir. *Politique Africaine*, 82, 5–23.
- Banque Centrale des États de l'Afrique de l'Ouest (2018). Note D'information, 56. <https://www.bceao.int/sites/default/files/2019-02/Note%20d%27information%20-%20n%2056%2C%204e%20trimestre%202018.pdf>
- Beukelaer De, C. , & Eisenberg, A.J. (2020). Mobilising African music: How mobile telecommunications and technology firms are transforming African music sectors. *Journal of African Cultural Studies*, 32, 195–211. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13696815.2018.1546569>
- Beuscart, J.-S. (2007). Les transformations de l'intermédiation musicale. La construction de l'offre commerciale de musique en ligne en France. *Réseaux*, 141–142, 143–176.
- Chabal, P. (2009). *Africa: The politics of suffering and smiling*. Zed Books.
- Clark, M.K. (2014). The role of new and social media in Tanzanian hip-hop production. *Cahiers D'études Africaines*, 216, 115–136.
- Devine, K. , & Boudreault-Fournier, A. (Eds.) (2021). *Audible infrastructures. Music, sound, media*. Oxford University Press.
- Eisenberg, A.J. (2012). M-Commerce and the (re)making of the music industry in Kenya: Preliminary notes and findings. Paper presented at the meetings of the Association of Social Anthropologists, Jawaharlal Nehru University. New Delhi. <http://dx.doi.org/10.17613/M6XV2W>, <https://hcommons.org/deposits/item/hc:15209/>
- Eisenberg, A.J. (2022). Soundtracks in the Silicon Savannah: Digital production, aesthetic entrepreneurship and the new recording industry in Nairobi, Kenya. In G. Born (Ed.), *Music and digital media: A planetary anthropology* (pp. 46–89). Chicago University Press.
- Gonin, P. , Kotlok, N. , & Pérouse de Montclos, M.-A. (dir.) (2013). *La tragédie malienne*. Vendémiaire.
- Granjon, F. (2016). Présentation du dossier Les sciences humaines et sociales au prisme du digital turn. *Variations. Revue Internationale de Théorie Critique*, 19, 1–16.
- Keita, N. et al. (2015). *Téléphonie et mobilité au Mali*. Langaa et African Studies Centre.
- Lemos, R. (2008). *Tudo Dominado: A música eletrônica globoperiférica*. Overmundo. Overblog. <http://www.overmundo.com.br/overblog/tudo-dominado-a-musica-eletronica-globoperifERICA>
- Martin, D.-C. (2002). Sur la piste des OPNI (Objets politiques non identifiés). *Karthala*.
- Martin D.-C. , & Olivier, E. (2017). “Un éclectisme assumé”: Entretien avec Denis-Constant Martin. *Cahiers D'ethnomusicologie*, 29, 191–215.
- Mattelart, T. , Parizot, C. , Peghini, J. , & Wanono, N. (2015). Le numérique vu depuis les marges. *Journal des Anthropologues*, 142–143, 9–27.
- Olivier, E. (2014). Musiques et globalisation. “Techno-logiques” de la création musicale. *Le Temps des Médias*, 22, 134–148.
- Olivier, E. (2017a). Le droit d'auteur en question. Analyse d'une situation malienne. In S. Andrieu & E. Olivier (Eds.), *Création artistique et imaginaires de la globalisation* (pp. 227–252). Hermann.
- Olivier, E. (2017b). Contraintes et débrouilles. Une enquête sur la musique numérique à Bamako (Mali). In P. Le Guern (Ed.), *En quête de musique. Questions de méthode à l'ère de la numérimorphose* (pp. 275–303). Hermann.
- Perullo, A. (2011). *Live from Dar es Salaam: Popular music and Tanzania's music economy*. Indiana University Press.
- Rapport annuel Sonatel (2018). https://rapportannuel-sonatel.com/wp-content/uploads/2019/04/18.04SON_Rapport-Annuel-2018_BD-erratum.pdf
- Rifkin, J. (2000). *The age of access: The new culture of hypercapitalism, where all of life is a paid-for experience*. Putnam Publishing Group.
- Shiple, J.W. (2013). *Living the hiplife: Celebrity and entrepreneurship in Ghanaian popular music*. Duke University Press.

- Shiple, J.W. (2017). Parody after identity: Digital music and the politics of uncertainty in West Africa. *American Ethnologist*, 44(2), 249–262.
- Skinner, R.T. (2015). *Bamako sounds: The afropolitan ethics of Malian music*. University of Minnesota Press.
- Taylor, T. (2001). *Strange sounds: Music, technology, and culture*. Routledge.
- Tchéhouali, D. (2016). Étude sur les enjeux et les retombées économiques et artistiques de la diffusion et de la distribution en ligne de contenu culturel ACP. Rapport final. Programme UE-ACP d'appui au secteur culturel, 10e Fonds Européen de Développement.
- Tchéhouali, D. (2017). Les opportunités du numérique pour la culture dans les pays en développement: Exemple du rôle de l'Internet mobile dans l'accès et la consommation de contenus africains. In M. Rioux, D. Tchéhouali & F. Verdugo (Eds.), *Cultures, sociétés et numérique* (pp.127–150). Éditions IEIM.

Chilean Fashion Influencers as Digital Labour

- Abidin, C. (2016). Visibility labour: Engaging with influencers' fashion brands and #OOTD advertorial campaigns on Instagram. *Media International Australia*, 161(1), 86–100. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1329878X16665177>
- Abidin, C. (2017). #familygoals: Family influencers, calibrated amateurism, and justifying young digital labor. *Social Media + Society*, 3(2). <https://doi.org/10.1177/2056305117707191>
- Abidin, C. (2018). *Internet celebrity: Understanding fame online*. Emerald Publishing Limited.
- Arriagada, A. (2021). Content creator and the field of advertising. In S. Cunningham & D. Craig (Eds.), *Creator culture: An introduction to global social media entertainment* (pp. 232–249). NYU Press.
- Arriagada, A. , & Bishop, S. (2021). Between commerciality and authenticity: The imaginary of social media influencers in the platform economy. *Communication, Culture and Critique*, 14(4), 568–586. <https://doi.org/10.1093/ccc/tcab050>
- Arriagada, A. , & Ibáñez, F. (2019). Communicative value chains: Fashion bloggers and branding agencies as cultural intermediaries. In L. Vodanovic (Ed.), *Lifestyle journalism: Social media, consumption and experience* (pp. 103–113). Routledge.
- Arriagada, A. , & Ibáñez, F. (2020). 'You need at least one picture daily, if not, you're dead': Content creators and platform evolution in the social media ecology. *Social Media + Society*, 6(3), <https://doi.org/10.1177/2056305120944624>
- Arriagada, A. , & Valderrama, M. (2023). (Re)Inventing influence: Valuation and justification in the influencer marketing world [Working Paper].
- Arvidsson, A. (2006). *Brands*. Routledge.
- Asociación de Agencias de Medios (ANDA) . (2022). Informe de inversión publicitaria en medios – marzo 2022. <https://www.anda.cl/estudios/informe-de-inversion-publicitaria-en-medios-marzo-2022/>
- Banet-Weiser, S. (2012). *AuthenticTM: The politics of ambivalence in a brand culture*. NYU Press.
- Bourdieu, P. (1986). The forms of capital. In J. G. Richardson (Ed.), *Handbook of theory and research for the sociology of education* (pp. 241–258). Greenwood Press.
- Callon, M. , Méadel, C. , & Rabeharisoa, V. (2002). The economy of qualities, *Economy and Society*, 31(2), 194–217. <https://doi.org/10.1080/03085140220123126>
- Cronin, A.M. (2004). Regimes of mediation: Advertising practitioners as cultural intermediaries? *Consumption Markets & Culture*, 7(4), 349–369. <https://doi.org/10.1080/1025386042000316315>
- Duffy, B.E. (2017). *(Not) Getting paid to do what you love*. Yale University Press.
- Duffy, B.E. , & Hund, E. (2015). 'Having it all' on social media: Entrepreneurial femininity and self-branding among fashion bloggers. *Social Media+ Society*, 1(2), 2056305115604337.
- Entwistle, J. (2009). *The aesthetic economy of fashion: Markets and value in clothing and modelling*. Bloomsbury Academic.
- Fairclough, N. (1993). Critical discourse analysis and the marketization of public discourse: The universities. *Discourse & Society*, 4(2), 133–168. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0957926593004002002>

- Gandini, A. (2016a). Digital work: Self-branding and social capital in the freelance knowledge economy. *Marketing Theory*, 16(1), 123–141. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1470593115607942>
- Gandini, A. (2016b). The reputation economy: Understanding knowledge work in digital society. Palgrave Macmillan.
- Geyser, W. (2022). Top 25 Latin American influencers adored by their followers. *Influencer Marketing Hub*. <https://influencermarketinghub.com/top-25-latin-american-influencers-adored-by-their-followers/>
- Glaser, B.G. , & Strauss, A.L. (1969). The discovery of grounded theory: Strategies for qualitative research. Transaction Publishers.
- Hearn, A. (2008). Meat, mask, burden. *Journal of Consumer Culture*, 8(2), 197–217.
- Hearn, A. (2010). Structuring feeling: Web 2.0, online ranking and rating, and the digital 'reputation' economy. *Ephemera*, 10(3/4), 421–438.
- Hearn, A. , & Schoenho, S. (2016). From celebrity to influencer: Tracing the diffusion of celebrity value across the data stream. In P.D. Marshall & S. Redmond (Eds.), *A companion to celebrity* (pp. 194–212). John Wiley & Sons.
- Hund, E. , & McGuigan, L. (2019). A shoppable life: Performance, selfhood, and influence in the social media storefront. *Communication, Culture and Critique*, 12(1), 18–35. <https://doi.org/10.1093/ccc/tcz004>
- Influencity . (2020). Influencity—estudio sobre influencers Latinoamérica 2020. Looker Studio. <http://lookerstudio.google.com/reporting/1S1M8PFMYMEnj63eRHi1n7tptsbrhsP7x/page/QmZOB?feature=opengraph>
- Karhawi, I. (2020). Notas teóricas sobre influenciadores digitais e Big Brother Brasil | E-Compós. E-Compos. <https://doi.org/10.30962/ec.2182>
- Latour, B. (2005). Reassembling the social: An introduction to actor network theory. Oxford University Press.
- Lury, C. (2004). Brands: The logos of the global economy. Routledge. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9780203495025>
- Marwick, A.E. (2013). Status update: Celebrity, publicity, and branding in the social media age. Yale University Press.
- Marwick, A. E. (2015). Instafame: Luxury selfies in the attention economy. *Public Culture*, 27(1–75), 137–160. <https://doi.org/10.1215/08992363-2798379>
- Marres, N. (2017). Digital sociology: The reinvention of social research. Wiley.
- McFall, L. (2014). The problem of cultural intermediaries in the economy of qualities. In J. Smith Maguire & J. Matthews (Eds.), *The cultural intermediaries reader* (pp. 61–71). Sage.
- Miller, P. , & Rose, N. (1990). Governing economic life. *Economy and Society*, 19(1), 1–31. <https://doi.org/10.1080/03085149000000001>
- Moor, L. (2007). The rise of brands. *Berg*. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nmat1849>
- Moor, L. (2008). Branding consultants as cultural intermediaries. *The Sociological Review*, 56(3), 408–428. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-954X.2008.00797.x>
- Moor, L. (2014). Branding. In J. Smith Maguire & J. Matthews (Eds.), *The cultural intermediaries reader* (pp. 77–88). Sage.
- Muniesa, F. , Millo, Y. , & Callon, M. (2007). An introduction to market devices. *The Sociological Review*, 55(2_suppl), 1–12. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-954X.2007.00727.x>
- Nixon, S. , & Du Gay, P. (2002). Who needs cultural intermediaries? *Cultural Studies*, 16(4), 495–500. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09502380210139070>
- Shtern, J. , Hill, S. , & Chan, D. (2019). Social media influence: Performative Authenticity and the relational work of audience commodification in the Philippines. *International Journal of Communication*, 13(0), 20.
- Slater, D. , & Tonkiss, F. (2001). *Market society: Markets and modern social theory* (1st edition). Polity.
- Statista . (2019). Chile Instagram users by age 2018 | Statistic. Statista.
- Statista . (2022). Chile: Instagram users who made a purchase recommended by an influencer 2022. <https://www.statista.com/Statistics/1358192/instagram-users-purchase-influencers-chile/>
- Subtel . (2016). Séptima encuesta de Acceso, Usos y usuarios de internet. Subsecretaría de Telecomunicaciones. http://www.subtel.gob.cl/wp-content/uploads/2015/04/Informe-VII-Encuesta-de-Acceso-Usos-y-Usuarios-de-Internet_VF.pdf
- Titton, M. (2015). Fashionable personae: Self-identity and enactments of fashion narratives in fashion blogs. *Fashion Theory - Journal of Dress Body and Culture*, 19(2), 201–220.

<https://doi.org/10.2752/175174115X14168357992391>

Tomasena, J.M. (2019). Negotiating collaborations: BookTubers, the publishing industry, and YouTube's ecosystem. *Social Media + Society*, 5(4).

<https://doi.org/10.1177/2056305119894004>